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Abstract
Urolithiasis is a disease of the genitourinary system, which is defined as the presence of urinary stones at any place in the urinary tract, resulting from the precipitation reaction of chemical compounds. The aim of this study is to demonstrate the important role of selected environmental factors (climate, ambient temperature) and the type of profession performed in the development of urolithiasis. In this field, the literature including original and review papers related to the epidemiology, pathogenesis and risk factors of urolithiasis was analyzed. The study used electronic databases such as Medline, Web of Science and Google Scholar. The prevalence of urolithiasis has increased in recent decades in both developed and developing countries. It is believed that this growing trend is associated with lifestyle changes such as the lack of physical activity, poor eating habits and global warming. Many factors are responsible for the formation of urinary stones. In literature, there is a division into individual and environmental factors. Today, external factors in the form of climate changes (global warming), geographical conditions and seasonal fluctuations, and the type of profession performed are becoming more and more important in the context of the occurrence of urinary stones. Currently, the presence of urolithiasis is becoming a significant problem all over the world and searching for causes is not easy, but particular attention should be paid to certain predispositions resulting from environmental factors, such as ambient temperature and the type of work performed.

INTRODUCTION
Urolithiasis is a disease of the genitourinary system, which is defined as the presence of urinary stones at any place in the urinary tract [1]. Urolithiasis is one of the oldest diseases known to mankind, as evidenced by archaeological research, with one of the first of many studies conducted by English archaeologist E. Smith in 1901, which proved the presence of stones in the Egyptian mummies of El Amrah (estimated 4500–5000 BC). Physicians of the early Middle Ages widely noticed the problem of urolithiasis, which was associated with characteristic clinical symptoms. That is why the first information on the possible treatment for these ailments was the “excision of stones” from patients dying of pain. Over the centuries, urolithiasis affected such personalities as Michelangelo, Ignatius of Loyola, Louis XIV or Napoleon Bonaparte [2]. In general, the disease refers to the formation of deposits in the urinary system (stones) that result from the pre-
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precipitation reaction of the chemical compounds which are components of urine. The classification of urinary stones depends on such criteria as the size of the deposit, its location in the urinary tract, chemical composition, formation etiology, X-ray properties, and the risk of secondary formation [3,4]. In terms of morphological parameters, the size of the stones is usually given in 1 or 2 dimensions, and the measurement scale used corresponds to the largest diameter: < 5 mm, 5–10 mm, 10–20 mm, and > 20 mm. In terms of location, stones can be classified according to their anatomical position: the upper, middle and lower calyx; the renal pelvis; the upper, middle and distal segment of the ureter; or the urinary bladder. This is why there are several types of urolithiasis: renal, ureteral, bladder-type and multifocal.

In a study by Lallas et al. [5], it was shown that urinary stones are most frequently (~ 70%) found in the renal calyx system as compared to other locations within the urinary tract. Moreover, there was a correlation between the occurrence of deposits and the symptoms of microhematuria. In other research, Durgawale et al. [6] showed that urinary stones are more commonly located in the ureters than in the kidney itself. Due to the chemical composition of the deposits, depending on the substance constituting their main component, there are several types of nephrolithiasis: calcium (calcium-oxalate, calcium-phosphate), uric acid, and cystic stones [7].

The most common cases of diagnosed uric acid stones are deposits where calcium compounds are predominant in the chemical structure (71%). Therefore, it appears striking that the majority of people who have been diagnosed with urinary system stones also have hypercalciuria, i.e., increased urinary calcium excretion. Increased intestinal calcium absorption, reduced reabsorption of calcium in renal tubules and excessive bone calcium release are mentioned as the causes of a disturbed calcium-phosphate balance. The role of food and nutrition in this regard is very important, because excessive calcium in the diet and an overdose of vitamin D₃ stimulate the occurrence of hypercalciuria, for which dietary and pharmacological treatment is necessary [8,9]. In a study by Wróbel et al. [10] regarding the chemical structure of kidney stones in Poland, it was found that the most common chemical substance forming stones is calcium oxalate monohydrate (CaC₂O₄*H₂O – 84%), followed by hydroxyapatite (Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂ – 8%), and then struvite or magnesium-ammonium phosphate (MgNH₄PO₄*6H₂O – 6%), while the rarest are stones based on uric acid (C₅H₄N₄O₃ – 2%).

Many factors are responsible for the formation of urinary stones. In literature, there is a division into individual and environmental factors [11–14]. Individual factors include non-modifiable factors such as genetic determinants (mutations in the SLC3A1, SLC7A9, SLC22A12 and SLC2A9 genes or other adjacent ones) [15–17], ethnic origin, age, gender and lifestyle factors, i.e., eating habits, body mass index (BMI), dehydration, overweight, obesity, diabetes, hypertension, etc. It should also be noted that the presence of urinary stones may be associated with the occurrence of other diseases [18]. According to recent reports, a correlation between cancer and urolithiasis has been confirmed [19]. Environmental factors include ambient temperature, seasonal variability, the number of hours of sunshine, air humidity, atmospheric pressure, and precipitation. Included in this group are also environmental factors in socio-economic terms, such as the type of job (occupation), industrialization, education, or health care access [11–14,20,21].

Although there are numerous studies on urolithiasis, the knowledge is scattered in a range of articles that show various aspects of the problem, but there is no holistic approach. Therefore, an attempt has been made to organize this knowledge systematically and to discuss it.

The aim of this study is to demonstrate the important role of selected environmental factors (climate, ambient temperature) and the type of work performed in the development of urolithiasis.
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morbidity risk affects the region of the Middle East, where it can range 20–25%, due to climate conditions which lead to long-term exposure to the sun, an increased vitamin D₃ production, and an increased daily fluid loss through the skin [25].

Choi et al. [26] showed that various climate factors in urban and rural areas might have an impact on the prevalence of urolithiasis and, therefore, the conditions associated with geographical location as well as the peculiarities of the population settlement (urban–rural) are integrated into the analysis of the prevalence of urolithiasis. According to numerous reports, the differences between urban and rural areas in correlation to urolithiasis are as follows: Pinduli et al. [27] showed the prevalence of urolithiasis for Buenos Aires at the level of 4% (for men 4.35% and for women 3.6%), while Stamatiou et al. [28] in their studies showed a significant percentage of the population with urolithiasis in rural areas (15.2%), whereby this was 17.5% for men and 13.2% for women.

Wang et al. [29] did not observe any significant differences in the prevalence of urolithiasis between urban and rural populations. As shown by the results of the 2010 study by Sas et al. [30], conducted in the USA, there were no differences in the incidence of nephrolithiasis in children from urban and rural areas, but these results cannot be directly compared to the adult population. Regarding the prevalence of urinary deposits among infants and children, it should be noted that they are rare and most often associated with urinary tract infections, urinary tract disorders or metabolic disorders (hypercalciuria and hypocitraturia) [11,31]. Sepahi et al. [32], in their studies conducted on 100 children under the age of 14 years, in whom urinary deposits were present, showed that in 54 subjects, bacterial infection was the main cause of urolithiasis. Despite the relatively incidental cases of urolithiasis in children, disturbing trends in the prevalence of this disease are observed nowadays [31,33–35].

In the 2012 study by Dwyer et al. [36], conducted in the

METHODS

The study included an analysis of literature data derived from original and review papers related to epidemiology, pathogenesis, and risk factors for urolithiasis. The study used electronic databases, such as Medline, Web of Science and Google Scholar. For the analysis, articles relevant to the study were searched for using the following keywords: urolithiasis, nephrolithiasis, kidney stone, epidemiology, pathogenesis, risk factors, and prevalence. Articles that did not show, whether directly or indirectly, the dependence of selected environmental factors (climate, ambient temperature) and the type of work performed in the development of urolithiasis were excluded from the study. The analysis was based on publications presenting the latest information on urolithiasis, as well as older articles that allowed to systematize knowledge in chronological order (1945–2019). Five hundred and seventy-six publications were collected, of which 452 did not meet the study criteria or were duplicated. One hundred and twenty-four publications fulfilling the above-mentioned criteria were eventually included in the study. Over 81% of the publications were published after 2000, while > 50% of the articles were published in the last decade. Publications were available both in the Polish and English language.

RESULTS

Epidemiology of urolithiasis

As noted above, urolithiasis belongs to a group of the most common diseases of the genitourinary system. According to literature, the global incidence of urolithiasis ranges 1–20% [7,22–24]. It is most frequently observed in populations with a large proportion of meat in diets, but rarely in vegetarian and vegan populations. Regarding the geographical distribution, there is a higher occurrence of the disease in Western countries, e.g., Canada (12%) and the United States (13–15%); in European countries, the range is slightly lower (5–9%), whereas it rarely occurs in East Asian countries, e.g., Japan (1–5%). The highest
north-central USA (Minnesota), a retrospective analysis of the prevalence of urolithiasis was performed, revealing that among children <18, the prevalence increased from 13/100 000 (1984–1990) to 36/100 000 person-years (2003–2008). In another state in the south-east of the USA (South Carolina), there was also an increasing incidence of urinary stones in children in 1996–2007 (from 7.9/100 000 in 1996 to 18.5/100 000 children in 2007); usually this problem concerned girls rather than boys, while the cause was indicated by adverse changes in eating habits [30,34,35,37]. According to a recent study by Modi et al. [38], the number of hospitalizations due to upper urinary tract urolithiasis in U.S. children significantly decreased in 2001–2014, while the percentage of hospitalized patients undergoing urolithiasis surgery significantly increased in the same period.

In Poland, among the few epidemiological data on urolithiasis in children, a significant increase in its prevalence in recent years has also been indicated [39]. According to Bochniewska et al. [39], currently children with urolithiasis account for 10% of all children hospitalized in Poland, in comparison to 2% in previous studies. In studies conducted by Kiliś-Pstrusińska et al. [40], it was shown that children >4 years of age accounted for 16% of all patients treated for urolithiasis in 1995–1999 at the Pediatric Nephrology Clinic in Wrocław. According to Gołąbek et al. [41], infants and children <2 years of age accounted for 49% of all patients with uric acid stones. However, Kamińska and Bieroza [42] noted that the lack of sufficient epidemiological data made it difficult to assess the scale of this phenomenon among the pediatric population in Poland.

According to Alelign and Petros [43], the prevalence of urolithiasis has increased in recent decades in both developed and developing countries. It is believed that this growing trend is associated with lifestyle changes such as the lack of physical activity, poor eating habits and global warming [20,44,45]. In Europe, and more precisely in German studies conducted in 1979–2000, it was shown that among people aged >14 years, the number of new patients increased from 120 to 720/100 000 population, while the total number of patients increased from 4.0% to 4.7% of the population [46]. In other studies conducted in England in 2003–2014, a significant increase in the incidence of urolithiasis, of 33.7% over 12 years, was found. The results significantly demonstrated the scale of the health problem of urolithiasis in that country [47]. American studies also showed a significant increase in the incidence of kidney stones from 3.8% (1976–1980) to 8.8% (2007–2010) [48]. In East Asian countries, there is also a growing trend in the prevalence of this disease. Yu Liu et al. [20] reported that the incidence of urolithiasis had increased significantly over the last few decades in most Asian countries, including China (from 4% to 6.4%), Japan (from 4.3% to 9.0 %), South Korea (from 3.5% to 11.5%), Thailand (from 1.4% to 16.9%), Saudi Arabia (from 6.8% to 19.1%), Iran (from 5.7% to 8.1%) and Israel (from 1.2% to 9.2%). It is worth noting that East Asian countries such as China, Japan or South Korea, due to their traditions and culinary habits, were less likely to have urolithiasis, which is why with the increase in the proportion of high-fat and high-sugar diets in many Asian countries, especially in China, the prevalence of this disease has also increased [20].

As regards the conditions that may affect the prevalence of this disease, sex, race, socio-economic status, eating habits and genetic factors are worth noting. According to literature data, urolithiasis appears in approx. 10–12% of men, while women show approx. 5% morbidity due to this disease; however, it should be noted that in the highly developed countries, the prevalence of the disease in obese women is increasing. Age >60 years reduces these statistics. In this period of life, the incidence of urolithiasis in women and men remains at a similar level. Other epidemiological data indicate a connection between belonging to a particular race and the incidence of urolithiasis in children.
Pathogenesis of urolithiasis

Due to the physicochemical aspects of the crystallization process in the human urinary tract, and errors occurring in biochemical processes in the body, the knowledge of the pathophysiology of urolithiasis remains inadequate, because there are many factors responsible for the formation of deposits in the urinary tract. According to Worcester and Coe [55], physiochemical and metabolic factors should be specified in the pathogenesis of urolithiasis. In the case of the former, the primary factor is the process of supersaturation – the saturation of urine with the substances present in it, while in the latter the main role is played by irregularities in the metabolism involving calcium-phosphate, purine and amino acid regulation, and errors occurring in the oxalic acid metabolism.

When seeking to answer the question regarding the causes or mechanisms explaining urinary stones formation, a few key scientific hypotheses should be mentioned. Stąpor [56] distinguished several theories, i.e., the theory of Lichtwitz protective colloids, with the urine crystals formation resulting from a disturbed balance between protective colloids and crystalloids in the solution. In Heller’s crystallization theory, deposits are formed as a result of solution supersaturation and crystals cementing (grouting) with basic organic substances, which is the “scaffolding” for urinary stones. In Koch and Boshamer’s theory, kidney function damage is indicated as the primary cause of stone formation, which results in impaired renal blood supply and determines the formation of spherical proteins with the adhesive ability of mineral salts. According to Carr’s theory, deposits are formed by urine reflux between the renal pelvis, renal calyx and lymphatic vessels, which may result in chronic inflammation. In Randall’s theory, toxic factors (bacterial toxins) in the interstitial tissue of the renal papilla, platelet calcifications called “Randall’s plaque” are formed which, as their dimensions increase, lead to epithelium damage and penetrate into the urine. In Boyce and Dulce’s theory, organic compounds, i.e., uroproteins and uromucoids (Tamm-Horsfall protein) appear in the urine, which are responsible for the formation of deposits, as they are the major component of urinary casts and kidney stones.

Today, there is no consensus as to whether Tamm-Horsfall protein is an inhibitor or promoter of crystal aggregation. However, according to Basavaraja et al. [57], most authors believe that this protein inhibits the aggregation of calcium oxalate monohydrates in alkaline pH, solutions with low ionic strength and low divalent ion concentrations. Under changing conditions such as low pH, high calcium, sodium and hydrogen ion concentration, as well as low protein concentration, it can promote aggregation. According to
The role of climate

Today, external factors in the form of climate changes (global warming), geographical conditions and seasonal fluctuations (higher rates in summer than in winter) are becoming more and more important in the context of the occurrence of urinary stones. As indicated by a wealth of literature data [61–71], global climate change is an environmental factor that can significantly affect the incidence of urinary stones, which is clearly associated with changes in ambient temperature and exposure to sunlight. In general, a higher incidence of urolithiasis in warmer regions results from large water losses due to sweating and inadequate water supplementation; this condition determines low urine volume and is a risk factor in urolithiasis.

There is a documented relationship between the presence of urolithiasis due to geographical conditions. One of the first studies confirming this dependence in the USA was the research by Boyce et al. [72], which showed that the incidence of kidney stones was the highest in the southern states of South Carolina and Georgia, and the lowest in Wyoming and Missouri. Subsequent studies, including that by Taşian et al. [73] conducted on 60,433 patients in 2005–2011, in several American cities – Atlanta, Georgia; Chicago, Illinois; Dallas, Texas; Los Angeles, California, Philadelphia, and Pennsylvania, have confirmed the adverse effects of high temperatures on kidney stones. According to the analysis of literature in general, in the USA there was a positive correlation between the occurrence of urolithiasis and the indicator of temperature and sunlight, with the increase in the occurrence of kidney stones being more noticeable in the hottest and sunniest parts of the country than in the coldest and least sunny [63,64].

Similar relations were noted in other countries, i.e., in Iran [74], Saudi Arabia [75], and South Korea [76]. In Taiwan, there are regional differences in the incidence of urolithiasis, but they are not as statistically significant [77] as in Turkey, where a higher incidence of kidney stones was observed in the hottest geographic areas (the south and
Many studies have shown a relationship between hotter seasons or months and the occurrence of urolithiasis [64–67,69,70,81–83]. On this basis, it can be assumed that the incidence of kidney stones varies, depending on the season of the year, which is determined by the warmer months of spring and summer. Basiri et al. [84], in their studies conducted in Iran, observed the highest number of cases in the months of June, July and November. Alkhunaizi [75], in his studies covering the years 1999–2010 in Saudi Arabia, showed a significantly higher incidence of kidney stones in patients in the hot season (May–September) than during the moderate season (October–April) (Figure 1). In Israel, in studies conducted by Sagy et al. [85] covering the years 2004–2015, the relationship between the frequency of reported health problems caused by the presence of stones in the urinary tract and the summer period covering June–September (with the mean air temperature of 25.5°C) was shown. This relationship was also observed in regard to average temperature changes during the day. Additionally in this study, the relationship was shown for seasonal air humidity, the highest average value of which was in summer (68%) in comparison with other seasons. As already mentioned in the previous section, people with kidney stones have a tendency to excrete calcium with urine, which is associated with an excess of vitamin D₃ [86]. Barg-er-Lux and Heaney [87] showed that the serum vitamin D₃ levels generally fell in winter months compared to summer months, due to reduced exposure to sunlight. A completely different statement was presented by Attalla et al. [88], who showed that despite irrelevant differences in the serum vitamin D₃ level, urinary calcium excretion was significantly higher in winter months compared to summer months, citing the co-occurrence of the other factors causing the formation of urinary stones, i.e., BMI which is associated with an increase in the calcium level in the urine [89–91], the supersaturation of uric acid in the urine [92] and calcium-oxalate stone formation [93], which inversely correlate with the serum vitamin D₃ levels [94,95]. Laerum and Aarseth [96], in European research conducted in a partially industrialized rural area in eastern Norway, which is characterized by cold winters and mild summers, showed the occurrence of renal colic more often in winter months than in summer months. This may be due to internal temperatures, because the inhabitants of this region may tend to stay more frequently indoors than outdoors, due to the low temperatures outside in winter, while the reduced intake of fluids and eating habits during the winter come down to consuming products that belong to the group of risk factors for this disease.

Some studies have confirmed differences between the exposure time to an environmental factor, such as temperature, and a clinical manifestation, i.e., the occurrence of symptoms characteristic of urolithiasis. In studies by Evans and Costabile [97], an attempt was made to determine the time of formation of symptomatic urinary stones among originally healthy people who found themselves in south-western Asia, which is a high-risk area for urolithiasis. They

![Figure 1. Monthly number of urinary stones in relation to the average monthly temperature from 1999 to 2010 [75]](image-url)
were compared in the period of March–August 2003, the
time from the arrival of American soldiers in Kuwait and
the time of the onset of acute renal colic in 1 military hospi-
tal located in this area. As a result of the study, it was noted
that the average time for the development of symptomatic
urinary stones was 93 days. In other studies conducted in
France in 2002–2004, Doumec et al. [98] recorded time
delays of 2 months between exposure to higher tempera-
tures and a clinical manifestation of symptoms.

**Occupational factors**
The type of profession, or rather the work environment,
is an important factor affecting the formation of urolithia-
sis. In 1945, Pierce et al. [99] conducted the first studies
on high temperature exposure in the work environment
as a potential factor for the formation of urolithiasis.
Other studies have shown that the incidence of urolithia-
sis in American soldiers in desert areas was twice as high
as in mountain areas [100]. Later, numerous observations
indicated that a higher incidence of stones applied to
people working in conditions of high ambient tempera-
ture [43,45–48,50,101,102]. Borghi et al. [103], in their
studies conducted at a glassworks facility, compared the
incidence of kidney stones between operators working in
a hot environment and sweating, and those working as
controllers at a mild temperature. This study confirmed
a higher incidence of urinary stones among machine op-
erators than among people working in normal conditions
(8.4% compared to 2.4% in the control group); chronic
dehydration was indicated as the reason. In other stud-
ies, concerning people working in the steel industry,
Atan et al. [104] demonstrated that workers exposed to
high temperatures, in whom low urine volumes and low
urate citrate were observed, showed a 9-fold higher risk of
urolithiasis. A tendency towards the incidence of kidney
stones was also observed in marathon runners [105,106].
In an attempt to explain the results of these studies, it can
be pointed out that relatively high temperatures can lead
to dehydration, and that such conditions, with reduced
or limited access to drinking water, become a source of
exposure to people. In addition, during work under long-
term exposure to the sun, the production of vitamin D_3
increases and, after conversion to 1,25-dihydroxy-vitamin D_3
in the kidneys, it may promote calcium absorption in the
intestines, which also determines the development of the
disease [107]. In general, the risk of developing urolithia-
sis in people working outdoors or exposed to high tem-
peratures, e.g., steel workers, construction workers, road
workers, farmers, miners, cooks, or drivers, are more sus-
ceptible to developing urolithiasis than people working at
room temperature [74,108–110]. Despite this fact, some
researchers in the above-mentioned field did not find
a relationship; e.g., Iguchi et al. [111] noted that the ad-
ministrative staff without exposure to high ambient tem-
peratures were characterized by a higher incidence of kid-
ney stones than farmers and workers with such exposure.
In other studies, this inverse relationship was confirmed,
consisting in a higher incidence of urolithiasis in people
working indoors, especially office workers [109,112,113].
In search of the causes of this phenomenon, one may spec-
ulate that such people (leading a sedentary lifestyle) have
an unhealthy lifestyle associated with, e.g., inappropriate
dietary habits combined with the lack of physical activity
and a relatively low intake of fluids.

Regarding the type of work (sitting, standing, exertional),
Mates [114], in his research conducted in the Mariánské
Lázné Spa (currently the second largest health resort in the
Czech Republic), showed that among patients with health
problems related to urolithiasis, office workers (23%) and
other workers performing sedentary work (24%) were
prevalent, while other groups were general physical work-
ners (16%), employees in transport services (9%), house-
wives (8%), pensioners (5%), teachers (5%), nurses and
nursing assistants (4%), and farmers (1%). Subsequent
works also confirmed an increased incidence of urolithiasis
among persons engaged in a sedentary type of work [100].
The latest research reports by Hire et al. [115] showed that urolithiasis occurred more frequently in sedentary people (67%) than in manual workers (29%). Nagapurkar et al. [116] showed that among 104 Indian patients with symptoms of renal colic, occupational activity concerned a significant proportion of people working physically (physical work – 40.3%, work in agriculture – 29.80%, work in the household – 11.5%, students – 10.5%, others – 5.76%). Achila et al. [117] noted that among 154 patients with deposits, 34% were physical workers, and 29% office workers; the remaining percentage were unemployed or not professionally active. It should be mentioned that this research was conducted in Eritrea, located in East Africa. Due to these studies, other factors that accompany the development of urolithiasis should be taken into account. According to Kalaitzidis et al. [118], doctors and medical personnel are among those professional groups that have a predisposition to the development of urolithiasis, which was noted already in the 1960s, and again in the 1980s [119,120]. In one of the latest studies in the USA (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota), Linder et al. [121] showed that health workers working in operating rooms, especially doctors, were more exposed to urolithiasis. This study includes information on the potential risk factors such as the amount of daily fluid intake, stress level, activity level, BMI, appropriate medical conditions and family history of urolithiasis. The results confirmed that people working in operating rooms showed a higher incidence of the disease compared to people who worked in other hospital wards (14.6% [64/439] vs. 9.7% [132/1363]). Among physicians working in operating rooms, a significantly lower intake of fluids and higher levels of stress were observed, compared to employees not working in operating rooms.

Exposure factors may also include chemicals in the work environment [122,123]. Lærum and Aarseth [96] reported a causal relationship between the occurrence of urolithiasis (53.3%) among employees of Norwegian railway workshops and their exposure to oxalic acid used in the process of painting and cleaning railway wagons; according to earlier information, the excretion of oxalic acid in the urine is one of the main factors determining the formation of urinary stones. In other studies, there was a relationship between the formation of urolithiasis and exposure to selected chemical elements [124]. In the case of professions where work is performed in the manufacturing industry, and where there is a large exposure to harmful substances, the risk of urolithiasis is high. An example can be battery production industry workers who are exposed to high levels of cadmium [125,126].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Currently, the presence of urolithiasis is becoming a significant problem all over the world. Therefore, it is necessary to monitor the spread of this adverse phenomenon in each country. The genesis of this disease is conditioned by many factors, so searching for causes is not easy, but particular attention should be paid to certain predispositions resulting from environmental factors such as ambient temperature and the type of work.

According to the analysis of collected literature data, one of the most important factors affecting the risk of urolithiasis is exposure to high ambient temperatures that is related to the warming of the climate, as well as the type of work performed. The external environment in which high temperatures are recorded can contribute to dehydration, and such conditions, with reduced or limited access to drinking water, become a source of exposure to people. In addition, during work under long-term exposure to the sun, the production of vitamin D$_3$ increases and, after conversion to 1,25-dihydroxy-vitamin D$_3$ in the kidneys, it may promote calcium absorption in the intestines, which also determines the development of the disease. Therefore, one of the solutions to the problem is to undertake health education and prevention in this area.
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