A CASE OF HYPERSENSITIVITY PNEUMONITIS IN A WORKER EXPOSED TO TEREPHTHALIC ACID IN THE PRODUCTION OF POLYETHYLENE TEREPTHALATE
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Abstract
Occupational hypersensitivity pneumonitis (OHP) is an interstitial lung disease caused by sensitization to an inhaled antigen. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) is mainly used for disposable beverage bottles. A clinical case of hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) in a 66-year-old patient in the follow-up as a worker formerly exposed to asbestos is presented. At the first visit in 2012 a diagnosis of asbestosis and pleural plaques was formulated. In 2017 the high resolution computed tomography was performed demonstrating a slight progression of the pulmonary fibrosis, while physical examinations revealed inspiratory crackles on auscultation, and lung function tests showed a decreased diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide. The radiological and histological pictures were compatible with HP. From 1992 to 2013 the patient worked in a chemical company that produced PET for disposable beverage bottles. A diagnosis of OHP was made, and the most likely causative agents were terephthalic acid and dimethyl terephthalate. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first report of an OHP case in PET production. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2020;33(1)
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INTRODUCTION
According to the position paper of the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) [1], occupational hypersensitivity pneumonitis (OHP) “is an immunologic lung disease with variable clinical presentation and outcome resulting from lymphocytic and frequently granulomatous inflammation of the peripheral airways, alveoli, and surrounding interstitial tissue which develops as the result of a non-IgE-mediated allergic reaction to a variety of organic or low molecular weight agents that are present in the work environment.” As demonstrated for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), a multidisciplinary approach in-
At the first visit in 2012, the patient’s ventilatory capacity was not reduced, even if he reported symptoms of chronic bronchitis (i.e., cough and phlegm for at least 3 months/year) in the last 15 years. The clinical examination of the chest was negative. The high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) showed pulmonary fibrosis with subtle thickening of the inter- and intra-lobular septa in the subpleural regions of both lungs. Pleural thickening was also present (Figure 1a). The mineralogical analysis of the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) showed an amphiboles concentration of 472 ff/ml BALF, while chrysotile fibres and asbestos bodies were under the detection limit. This result was comparable with the concentration levels of amphiboles in BALF, measured in the same laboratory in patients suffering from asbestosis [4]. At the end of the investigations, a diagnosis of asbestosis and pleural plaques (PPs) was formulated.

In 2017, during the follow-up for asbestosis and PPs related to the past asbestos exposure, another HRCT examination was performed demonstrating a slight progression of the pulmonary fibrosis not only in the extension but also in the profusion of CT features, both in the upper and lower lobes, in relation to the previous HRCT performed in 2015 (Figure 1b). Physical examinations revealed inspiratory crackles at the pulmonary bases on auscultation. The patient recently experienced the onset of progressive dyspnea. Moreover, his lung function tests showed a decreased diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (CO). The mineralogical analysis showed an amphiboles concentration of 320 ff/ml BALF, while chrysotile fibres and asbestos bodies were under the detection limit. This result was comparable with the concentration levels of amphiboles in BALF, measured in the same laboratory in patients suffering from asbestosis [4]. At the end of the investigations, a diagnosis of asbestosis and pleural plaques (PPs) was formulated.

For a better definition of the case, pneumological advice was requested that suggested performing a surgical lung biopsy. The histological examination carried out on the lung biopsies showed bronchiolocentric lymphocytic interstitial pneumonitis with scattered eosinophils and an orga-
The patient, according to the pulmonologist, was treated with corticosteroids and azathioprine.

**DISCUSSION**

Several cases of asthma occurred in workers exposed to phthalic anhydride, which is mainly used in alkyd and epoxy resins [5]. In some cases, even phthalates seem to be able to cause asthmatic reactions. The case of a subject who developed asthma and alveolitis-type reaction
after being exposed to polyester containing polyethylene terephthalate and polybutylene terephthalate was described [6]. Heated polyvinyl chloride (PVC) fumes containing phthalates possibly contribute to the development of asthma in adults [7]. Few cases of alveolitis have been linked to occupational phthalate exposure. Allergic alveolitis was observed following exposure to an epoxy polyester powder paint containing low amounts of trimellitic anhydride and phthalic anhydride [8]. An OHP case was observed in a yacht manufacturing worker: among the various chemicals the patient was exposed to, the most likely causative agents were dimethyl phthalate and styrene [9].

When an uncommon cause is involved, the clinical and occupational histories are the cornerstones to the diagnosis of OHP [1]. In literature an overlap between IPF and fibrotic HP was found, with a number of patients who were diagnosed with HP after the IPF had previously been diagnosed [10]. The distinction of HP from other interstitial lung diseases is important for the management and therapy because the HP treatment involves, first of all, antigen avoidance to reduce the inflammatory/immune response. As HP must be considered in all cases of interstitial lung diseases, a detailed environmental exposure history is mandatory [11,12]. In the present case, the occupational history was puzzling because of the asbestos exposure at levels compatible with the onset of asbestosis proven also by the presence of PPs [13]. On the one hand, the progression, with a visible year-to-year increase in the disease symptoms, and the radiographic findings revealed by HRCT, also through multiplanar reformat using the spiral acquisition technique, with the loss of pulmonary function in the absence of asbestos exposure, suggested a diagnosis different from asbestosis [14,15]. On the other hand, HP may show an adverse outcome even after avoiding exposure to the causal agent [1].

The EAACI Task Force stated that the diagnosis of OHP in general often remains challenging as there is no gold standard test and the diagnosis is made through a combination of procedures [1]. No single historical symptom, physical examination finding, diagnostic laboratory data or radiologic finding is diagnostic for HP, even if all these features support the diagnosis [9]. The relevant antigen to hypersensitivity pneumonitis cannot be identified in up to 20% to 30% of patients [11]. According to Hanak et al. [16], the diagnostic criteria for HP included the following:

1) the presence of respiratory symptoms,
2) the radiologic evidence of a diffuse lung disease,
3) a known exposure, or a positive serologic test result, to an inciting antigen,
4) no other identifiable cause for the lung disease.

If there was no identifiable inciting antigen, 1 of the following 2 criteria was required:

1) a lung biopsy specimen that demonstrated features of HP, or
2) BALF lymphocytosis and high-resolution computed tomographic evidence of ground-glass opacities or centrilobular nodules bilaterally.

In the present case symptoms, the radiologic and pathologic findings suggested the HP diagnosis in the presence of occupational exposure to a potential causal agent. The lack of BALF lymphocytosis is compatible with the chronic HP. Although the specific compound could not be determined with certainty, as is always the case of chemicals, in the present case OHP is likely linked to occupational exposure to TPA or DMT.

CONCLUSIONS

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first report of an OHP case in PET production.
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