A SUBJECTIVE SENSE OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN ADOLESCENTS FROM THE ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKIE PROVINCE
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Abstract

Objectives: The measurement of the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) is one of the most important methods for self-assessment of health, which makes it possible to identify irregularities in the physical, mental and social functioning. The aim of the research was to determine HRQOL using the Health Related Quality of Life Questionnaire for Children and Young People (the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire) – the instrument recommended by the World Health Organization – which makes it possible to distinguish groups of adolescents with a diversified subjective sense of the quality of life.

Material and Methods: The study involved a group of 871 adolescents, 411 boys and 460 girls, aged 13–16 years, residing in the Świętokrzyskie Province. The method of a diagnostic survey was used in the research. The KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire, which is an instrument for examining the HRQOL of adolescents, was employed in the study. The k-means clustering method was applied, which made it possible to establish 3 groups of adolescents with a different subjective sense of the quality of life.

Results: Three groups of adolescents with a diversified subjective sense of the quality of life (high, average, low) were identified using the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire. The subjective quality of life in the majority of the respondents was high, in particular in those living in rural areas. The surveyed boys with a high subjective quality of life showed a significantly higher self-esteem, acceptance and peer support than the surveyed girls.

Conclusions: The KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire is an accurate and sensitive tool for assessing HRQOL. It allows identifying 3 groups of adolescents with a diversified subjective sense of the quality of life. It can form the basis for further diagnosis of the bio-psycho-social functioning of adolescents.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the modern definition provided by the World Health Organization (WHO), health is considered not only as a total absence of disease or disability, but also as a state of full physical, mental and social well-being, manifested in all spheres of activity in human life [1]. The WHO definition, therefore, emphasizes the aspect of a multi-dimensional and subjective nature of health [2]. In contemporary research on the health of children, adolescents and adults, attention is focused on the use of subjective measures, i.e., self-assessment of health. This measurement is carried out by the respondents themselves, and not by professionals, as is the case with objective health assessment. Attempts are being made to use various tools to assess subjective health [3].

The measurement of the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) is currently one of the most important methods of self-assessment of health, which makes it possible to detect abnormalities in the physical, psychological and social functioning [4]. It is especially significant for the period of adolescence and the so-called young adults, where negative health indicators are definitely lower than in the adult population.

Measurement is the process of assigning numbers to objects or events according to certain rules, as a result of which the concepts and empirical indicators visible in the responses are combined. Therefore, in order to record data [5], research tools are created and developed. Due to a variety of definitions, many measurement tools have been developed to assess the quality of life. The most commonly used are questionnaires, and the quality of life scales and indexes. The decisive indicator for the assessment of the quality of life is the assessment of the subjective perception of the life situation, conditioned by the state of health from the respondent’s point of view. It is in the sphere of his/her experience, so it is a subjective phenomenon, e.g., what is positively perceived by one person may be negatively perceived by someone else [6–8].

One of the commonly used methods is a multivariate test that enables an in-depth assessment of the patient’s well-being. It is qualitative in nature and very laborious. Another method of assessing the quality of life is a questionnaire study, which allows for quantitative results to be obtained [6,9].

The quality of life questionnaires are divided into: general, specific and mixed. General questionnaires allow assessing the overall quality of life in the basic areas of functioning; they are used to assess the relationship between the patient’s health condition and factors such as family relationships, professional activity, and emotional state. General questionnaires are employed in large studies and for both healthy and sick populations. They are universal and their results can be compared between different populations. In addition, general questionnaires are recommended especially for screening the whole population in Poland. Examples of general questionnaires are: the WHO Quality of Life-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF), the Short Form Health Survey (SF-36), the Sickness Impact Profile, and the Quality of Life Index [9–11].

Specific questionnaires are further divided into 2 categories. The first category analyzes some specific spheres of the patient’s functioning, while the second one analyzes factors that result from the disease itself, refer to a specific disease (e.g., asthma, diabetes) or a group of diseases (e.g., diseases of the cardiovascular system), and may also be addressed to a specific population under the study (e.g., children, adolescents, the elderly), as well as to social functions, self-esteem or body image [10].

Specific questionnaires are further divided into 2 categories. The first category analyzes some specific spheres of the patient’s functioning, while the second one analyzes factors that result from the disease itself, refer to a specific disease (e.g., asthma, diabetes) or a group of diseases (e.g., diseases of the cardiovascular system), and may also be addressed to a specific population under the study (e.g., children, adolescents, the elderly), as well as to social functions, self-esteem or body image [10].

The second category enables the assessment of factors such as the patient’s well-being, the impact of the disease on his/her emotional state, the severity of symptoms, and everyday social and professional activity [12]. Examples of specific questionnaires are: the Pairs Questionnaire for Dialysis Patients, the Quality of Life Scale (QLS-100) used in psychiatric disorders, the Quality of Life Questionnaire (QLO-C30) used in cancer patients, the Asthma
Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLO), and the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRO) [13,14].

Mixed questionnaires include both, general and specific questionnaires.

The quality of human life is an extremely subjective value and it depends, to a large extent, on the mental state, preferences, personality traits, value systems, etc. [15].

The assessment of HRQOL in children and adolescents in European countries and in the USA became popular in the 1980s and 1990s. Since then, new tools for measuring the quality of life in children and adolescents have been developed, taking into account the specificity of developmental age, and comprehending the issues of development and health in terms of the quality of life. Reports on the quality of life of children and adolescents enable the detection of disorders, defects and abnormalities by promoting and monitoring physical development, and by assessing the quality of life and treatment. The problem of the quality of life and its measurement has become one of the crucial issues in pediatric practice [16].

One of the most frequently used tools for studying the quality of life in pediatric and adolescent populations is the Pediatric Quality of Life (PedsQL) questionnaire, which facilitates monitoring the health condition and measuring treatment effects. This questionnaire is a reliable measuring tool, used in examining healthy and chronically ill children and adolescents [17]. The author of the PedsQL questionnaire also used the assessment of the quality of life of the surveyed children and adolescents, carried out by their legal guardians.

The PedsQL questionnaire consists of 2 versions: general and supplementary. The supplementary version assesses some characteristic symptoms and modes of treatment. Version 4.0 of the PedsQL questionnaire is used to test and compare healthy children and children with acute and chronic health problems. The questionnaire allows for measurements of various spheres and takes into account the functioning in the physical, mental, social, as well as school domains [18–20].

Other tools used to assess the quality of life in chronically ill children are: the Child Health Questionnaire, the Pediatric Oncology Quality of Life Scale which is used to assess the quality of life in children with cancer [21], and AQLO which is used to assess the course and effectiveness of asthma treatment [22]. The questionnaire containing the basic dimensions of the quality of life: physical, psychological, social and environmental, as mentioned by WHO, is the Health Related Quality of Life Questionnaire for Children and Young People (the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire).

The KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire is the outcome of the research project entitled: “Screening and Promotion for Health-related Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents – A European Public Health Perspective,” which was carried out in 13 European countries, including Poland. The choice of this tool was closely related with the aim of the research, the study group, the possibility of cooperation with adolescents, and good psychometric properties [2,3].

The aim of the research was to determine the use of the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire [23], as an accurate instrument for assessing HRQOL, which makes it possible to distinguish groups of adolescents with a diversified subjective sense of the quality of life.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

On the basis of the data from the Board of Education, a list of schools located in the Świętokrzyskie Province was compiled with the approval of the Bioethics Commission of the Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce (No. 04/2009). Three schools were randomly selected, 42 classes in succession, 14 classes from each school. The permission to conduct the study was obtained from the head teachers, pupils and parents.

The method of a diagnostic survey was applied to the research. It was conducted by employing the questionnaire technique. The standardized KIDSCREEN-52 ques-
The adolescents responded from the perspective of the past week. The raw results were standardized on a scale of 0–100 pts, which made it possible to obtain average indicators of the HRQOL of adolescents according to the summary scale. As a result, the natural differences between individual HRQOL dimensions were maintained [23,24].

The k-means clustering method was applied, through which 3 groups of adolescents with a diversified subjective sense of the quality of life were identified. The following statistical methods were used: arithmetic means, statistical deviations, minimum values, maximum values and medians. The assumed significance level was p < 0.05. All the calculations were performed using the Statistica 10 PL package.

**RESULTS**

The study involved a group of 871 young people, 411 boys (47.19%) and 460 girls (52.81%), aged 13–16 years and residing in the Świętokrzyskie Province. Pupils from 3 schools in the Świętokrzyskie Province were qualified for the study as a randomly selected research sample (Table 1). The study involved the assessment of the relationship between the selected demographic characteristics (gender, age and the place of residence) and 10 dimensions of the quality of life based on the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire (physical health, mental well-being, moods and emotions, self-perception, autonomy, relationship with parents, financial resources, social support, school environment, and social acceptance).

Both the boys (90.24±15.69 pts) and the girls (91.45±14.85 pts) showed the highest assessment of the subjective sense of HRQOL in the social acceptance dimension, which suggests that the subjective sense of the quality of life in this dimension had a major impact on both groups. The school environment dimension, indicating that the subjective sense of the quality of life of the boys (66.42±14.88 pts) and the girls (66.02±14.86 pts) was influenced by this dimension, was much worse. The young people were dissatisfied with their school, negatively evaluating both the school itself and teachers.

The social acceptance dimension had the greatest impact on the assessment of the subjective sense of the quality of life in all age groups (the students aged 13 years: 89.72±16.25 pts; 14 years: 91.32±14.34 pts; 15 years: 91.57±15.06 pts; and 16 years: 90.12±16.03 pts), and the school environment dimension had the lowest impact (the students aged 13 years: 64.61±16.60 pts; 14 years: 67.04±14 pts; 15 years: 66.03±14.48 pts; and 16 years: 66.69±14.57 pts).

As before, the social acceptance dimension had the greatest impact on the subjective sense of the quality of life in terms of the place of residence: (living in an urban area: 90.62±15.43 pts, and in a rural area: 91.04±15.16 pts), and the school environment dimension had the lowest impact (living in an urban area: 65.17±14.91 pts, and in a rural area: 66.87±15.16 pts).

During the analysis of the research regarding the impact of gender, age and the place of residence on the subjective sense of the quality of life of adolescents from the Świętokrzyskie Province, it was found that there was no significant relationship with the individual dimensions of the subjective quality of life measured by the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire (p > 0.05).

Descriptive statistics of the respondents (arithmetic means, standard deviation, minimum values, maximum values and medians) were calculated on the basis of the quality of life dimensions of the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire (Table 2).

As it was mentioned in the Material and Methods section, 3 groups of respondents with a different subjective sense of the quality of life were identified. According to the recommendations, the HRQOL indices were determined for each person participating in the study [23].
It was found that group I (338 people – 43.97%) was characterized by a high subjective sense of the quality of life, group II (399 people – 38.92% of the respondents) by an average subjective sense of the quality of life, and group III (149 people – 17.11% of the respondents) by a low subjective sense of the quality of life.

The respondents from group I gave the highest rating to all dimensions of the quality of life of the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire [25]. On the basis of the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire, the following values were calculated: arithmetic means (the average values of HRQOL indices according to the summary scale of 0–100 pts) and standard deviations (Table 2).

The analysis of the average values of HRQOL indices shows that group I is marked by the highest values, group II by the average values, and group III by the lowest values, of the quality of life indices (Table 3).

Table 1. Characteristics of the respondents from the Świętokrzyskie Province, Poland, in the study on the health-related quality of life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Participants (N = 871) [n (%)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>boys (N = 411, 47.19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>urban areas (N = 165, 18.94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 years</td>
<td>19 (11.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>55 (33.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>57 (34.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 years</td>
<td>34 (20.61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the results for individual dimensions of the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire of the examined boys and girls from the Świętokrzyskie Province, Poland, in the study on the health-related quality of life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Participants (N = 871)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>boys (N = 411)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M    SD  min.  max  Me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical health</td>
<td>73.26 14.26 36.00 100.00 72.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental well-being</td>
<td>74.88 15.09 23.33 100.00 76.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moods and emotions</td>
<td>82.06 13.83 25.71 100.00 85.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-perception</td>
<td>74.11 15.31 24.00 100.00 72.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy</td>
<td>71.38 17.33 20.00 100.00 72.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship with parents</td>
<td>78.75 17.53 20.00 100.00 80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial resources</td>
<td>70.32 20.24 20.00 100.00 73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>73.88 16.27 20.00 100.00 76.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School environment</td>
<td>66.44 14.89 20.00 100.00 66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social acceptance</td>
<td>90.24 15.69 20.00 100.00 91.319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
group II (38.92%), and only then by group III (17.11%), but these differences were not significant (p > 0.05).

When examining the impact of age on the assessment of the subjective sense of the quality of life related to health, a difference was found between the respondents aged 13 years (18.03%) with a gradual increase in differences in the subsequent age groups, i.e., among those aged 14 years (30.42%) and 15 years (1.80%).

The results of the analysis indicate no significant differences between the 3 groups distinguished in terms of the subjective sense of the quality of life and the respondents’ gender (p > 0.05) or place of residence (p > 0.05).

DISCUSSION

The majority of WHO researchers define health as a complete biological, mental and social well-being, and the quality of life as physical, emotional and social aspects of the patient’s well-being [26].

According to modern definitions, health has many dimensions including the physical, mental and social functioning.
Adolescence is considered as a critical period because of the increased risk of psychiatric disorders as well as the development and fixation of health behaviors, both favorable and unfavorable. Therefore, special attention should be paid to proper investments in the health of adolescents, which would determine the further functioning of society, both now and from the perspective of several decades [27,33].

In 2018, the Health Behavior in School-aged Children (HBSC) report was published as part of international research into health behavior of school children. The new model of HBSC, which combines 4 main perspectives: psycho-social population, macro-social and developmental, emphasizes the areas of biological development and maturation. Currently, HBSC studies influence the formation of models describing the factors that support the development of adolescents. The new integrated and multi-dimensional HBSC research model makes it possible to use its results for the following purposes:

- describing the health self-assessment and health behavior of adolescents in a social context;
- searching for protective and risk factors of health and health behaviors of adolescents;
- describing and analyzing various areas of the functioning of adolescents;
- performing an analysis at the individual level and at the level of a school class, a school or the whole country;
- analyzing trends of changes in individual health areas;
- making international comparisons;
- participating in the evaluation of preventive and intervention programs at the local or national level [34].

Cross-sectional studies by Gil-Lacruz et al. [34], conducted in the Casablanca District of Zaragoza (Spain), were particularly important for young people, as understanding the interaction between health and education facilitated the design of a preventive policy influencing their HRQOL. The WHOQOL-BREF which was used to this
The social acceptance dimension provided evidence that the respondents were accepted by their peers, and that they did not experience any distress (bullying) on their part. The school environment dimension had the lowest score, which shows that some of the adolescents were dissatisfied with their school; their evaluation of both the school itself and their teachers was poor.

Thus, it is very important how health is perceived, especially during adolescence, and how it is rated in comparison to other values.

According to Krawczyńska et al. [35], “A better assessment of HRQOL is conditioned by making conscious, responsible decisions with reference to personal health and beliefs, and that good health is conditioned by taking pro-health actions.”

Due to the use of appropriate research instruments, the study confirmed that it is possible to assess the health condition of adolescents by determining their physical, mental and social well-being. The reason for the lower scores in the assessment of the quality of life among the surveyed girls, as compared to the boys, is the fact that girls generally care more about their own health than boys, and because they are more sensitive, they are more susceptible to psychosomatic and mental disorders [35].

In the study of children and adolescents aged 8–18 years, Mazur et al. [24] proved that the respondents gave the lowest rating to dimensions relating to social functioning, including financial resources, the family material condition and the school environment.

The Spanish National Health Survey is a series of studies that have been the main source of information on the perceived health of the population living in Spain. Gallego-Méndez et al. [37] assessed the relationship between HRQOL using the National Health Survey of Spain (Encuesta Nacional de Salud de España 2017 – ENSE 2017) questionnaire and the frequency of physical activity in Spanish children aged 8–14 years with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). They showed significant differences between different levels of physical activity frequency, as well
as positive correlations of the mean between HRQOL and ADHD. The results of such analyses confirmed that physical activity can contribute to the improvement of HRQOL in children with ADHD.

Oleś [31] claimed that “it is necessary to assess the quality of life in the developmental period in the overall treatment program, this is also important from the point of view of preventing the psycho-social effects of the disease and promoting health.”

The results of the analyses supported the hypothesis about the possibility of developing a methodology for determining groups of adolescents with a different subjective sense of the quality of life. They were performed taking into account standards relating to Polish nationwide studies conducted in 2003 by the Institute of Mother and Child in Warsaw, in the light of research with the application of the KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire [23].

When analyzing the average HRQOL values, it was found that the adolescents from group I gave the highest scores to the 10 dimensions of the quality of life. In addition to the social acceptance dimension, they also gave high scores to their relationships with parents, and moods and emotions. These respondents were accepted by their peers, and did not experience any distress on their part. They felt loved, supported and understood by their parents. They were feeling well and emotionally balanced. The school environment was the lowest assessed dimension, which is reflected by the fact that the students did not always perceive school as a friendly place, at the same time showing a lack of satisfaction from their learning achievements [25].

In addition to the social acceptance dimension, adolescents from group II gave the highest scores to the moods and emotions, and relationship with parents dimensions, and the lowest to the school environment dimension. The respondents were accepted by their peers, did not experience bullying or teasing, and felt well and were emotionally balanced. They also felt treated fairly by their parents, loved, supported and understood, but they did not always perceive school as a friendly place [25].

The students from group III, apart from the social acceptance dimension, gave the highest scores to the moods and emotions, physical health, mental well-being, and self-perception dimensions. The adolescents from this group did not always feel accepted by their peers, sometimes were bullied, and sometimes experienced loneliness, sadness and resignation.

They did not always feel healthy, active, fit and full of energy. The adolescents were rather self-confident, but not always satisfied with their appearance, and they neither cared much about their appearance nor showed any willingness to change [25]. The respondents from group III gave the lowest scores to the autonomy and financial resources dimensions. The adolescents from this group were not always satisfied with their family’s financial resources which would allow them to lead a peer-like lifestyle, as the feeling of financial constraints influenced the possibility of buying certain goods or having access to entertainment [23].

Undoubtedly, the completed studies have a cognitive value, as they extend the knowledge on the subjective sense of the quality of life and its determinants in adolescents from the Świętokrzyskie Province.

CONCLUSIONS

The KIDSCREEN-52 questionnaire is an accurate instrument for assessing HRQOL, which makes it possible to identify groups of adolescents with a diverse subjective sense of the quality of life (high, average and low), which can then form the basis for further diagnosis of the biopsychosocial functioning of young people.

The subjective assessment of the quality of life in the majority of the respondents was high, especially among those living in the countryside.

The boys with a high subjective sense of the quality of life showed a significantly higher sense of self-esteem, acceptance and peer support than the girls.
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