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Abstract

Objectives: Increased life expectancy results in greater challenges posed to healthcare. Concurrently, a shortage of healthcare workforce, including nurses, has been observed. Thus, an urgent need exists to implement improvements in healthcare services based on sufficient evidence. The aim of the study was to evaluate the influence of the relative number of nurses/midwives on life expectancy, and the influence of selected economic variables: gross domestic product (GDP), health expenditure as a percentage of GDP, and health expenditure per capita, on this number. Material and Methods: A retrospective analysis based on data from 46 countries was performed. Correlations between the relative number of nurses/midwives and life expectancy as well as economic variables were evaluated. To trace the differences between the countries with different relative numbers of nurses/midwives, the countries were divided into groups as follows – group 1: <5 nurses and midwives/1000 nurses inhabitants, group 2: 5–10 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants, and group 3: >10 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants. Results: Correlations were found between the relative number of nurses/midwives and life expectancy (p < 0.001, r = 0.68), and economic variables (p < 0.001, r = 0.82; p < 0.001, r = 0.62, and p < 0.001, r = 0.8, respectively). Life expectancy was higher in group 3 vs. groups 1 and 2 (p < 0.001 and p = 0.036, respectively), and in group 2 vs. group 1 (p = 0.006). Economic variables were higher in group 3 vs. group 1 (p < 0.001 for all) and group 2 (p = 0.016, p = 0.025, p = 0.022, respectively), and in group 2 vs. group 1 (p = 0.02, p = 0.024, p = 0.002, respectively). Conclusions: The relative number of nurses/midwives correlates with life expectancy and relies on the country’s income and level of healthcare system financing. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2021;34(4)
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INTRODUCTION

According to the universal health coverage (UHC) established by the World Health Organization (WHO) all people of all ages should achieve better health and well-being in the field of disease prevention, health promotion, and treatment for communicable and non-communicable diseases [1]. Thus, an urgent need exists to implement improvements in healthcare services based on sufficient evidence. Life expectancy is the result of many factors, including healthcare system regulations and resources,
the socio-economic status, disease prevention approaches, lifestyle and health financing systems, education, government and private health expenditure, access to safe water, nutritional outcomes, the geographical status and urbanization, the mortality rates of infants, children and adults, and the birth rate, and mother and child care [2,3].

Life expectancy has increased over the last several years, especially in high-income countries. Due to variations in the pace of economic growth, the countries with the highest life expectancy have changed several times. One of the results of this phenomenon is a simultaneous increase in the size and proportion of the elderly population, namely those who need care the most [2,4]. In addition, the elderly are accompanied by multimorbidity, which also increases the need for advanced care and medical treatment [5,6].

This situation requires careful planning of health and social services. Currently, a shortage has been observed in healthcare workforce, namely among physicians and nurses [7].

It has been also demonstrated that there is an association between daily work load/nurse/day, and patient safety [8].

Many potential solutions have been established to reduce this shortage, including not only an increase in the number of nurses and midwives, but also increasing their roles and skills, and broadening their competencies in the healthcare system. Optimizing the roles of nurses could contribute to the progressive realization of the UHC objective [9].

Presuming their leading roles in UHC, Global Strategic Directions for Strengthening Nursing and Midwifery 2016–2020 (SDNM) was launched in 2016 by the WHO. This is a policy guidance providing a framework with which to adapt, develop, implement, and evaluate interventions leading to an increased and improved role of nurses and midwives in the healthcare system at the country level [10].

However, though 35 of the Government Chief Nursing and Midwifery Officers or their representatives have reported that 74% of the 22 country-level interventions included in SDNM are either completed or “in progress” [9], there are still some issues restricting the development of this occupational group. These restrictions include the shortage and maldistribution resulting in overstrain, low pay, a lack of legal regulations enhancing the professional and scientific development, or workplace bullying [11,12].

These challenges and restrictions are still present in many countries, with under-evaluation and a persistently low social status of the nursing profession.

The aim of the present study was to prove the importance of nurses and midwives in the healthcare system. To this end, the authors evaluated the influence of the relative number nurses/midwives on life expectancy and the influence of selected economic indicators on this number.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A retrospective analysis was performed based on data from 46 countries. Data regarding the relative number of nurses/midwives, life expectancy, and 3 indicators of the economic status: gross domestic product (GDP) per capita, health expenditure as a percentage of GDP, and health expenditure per capita, regarding 2015–2016, were obtained from the reports of the World Bank, Eurostat, and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) [13–16].

Initially, 211 countries were selected for the analysis, but after evaluating the timeliness and completeness of the reports, the analysis included 46 countries. The authors analyzed 26 countries with different economic statuses in Europe: Austria (AT), Belgium (BE), Czech Republic (CZ), Denmark (DK), Estonia (EE), Finland (FI), France (FR), Germany (DE), Great Britain (GB), Holland (NL), Hungary (HU), Iceland (IS), Italy (IT), Ireland (IE), Lithuania (LT), Luxembourg (LU), Montenegro (ME), Norway (NO), Poland (PL), Russia (RU), Slovak Republic (SK), Slovenia (SI), Spain (ES), Switzerland (CH), Sweden (SE), and Turkey (TR). They also analyzed 4 countries in the North and South Americas (Canada [CA], Mexico [MX], Paraguay [PY], and the USA [US]), 10 countries in Asia (China [CN], India [IN],...
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for multiple comparisons revealed that life expectancy was higher in group 3 vs. groups 1 and 2 (p < 0.001 and p = 0.036, respectively), and in group 2 vs. group 1 (p = 0.006). Economic variables were higher in group 3 vs. group 1 (p < 0.001 for all) and group 2 (GDP per capita, p = 0.016; health expenditure [a percentage of GDP], p = 0.025, and health expenditure per capita, p = 0.022), and in group 2 vs. group 1 (GDP per capita, p = 0.002; health expenditure [a percentage of GDP], p = 0.024, and health expenditure per capita, p = 0.002).

The relationships between the relative number of nurses/midwives and life expectancy at birth in the whole population (2016), GDP per capita (2016), the current health expenditure as a percentage of GDP (2015), and the current health expenditure per capita (2015) in the analyzed countries are shown in Figure 1 a–d, respectively.

Pearson’s test revealed strong correlations between the relative number of nurses/midwives and life expectancy (p < 0.001, r = 0.68). Strong correlations were also identified between the relative number of nurses/midwives and GDP per capita (Pearson’s test, p < 0.001, r = 0.82), health expenditure as a percentage of GDP (Pearson’s test, p < 0.001, r = 0.62), and health expenditure per capita (Pearson’s test, p < 0.001, r = 0.8).

Indonesia [ID], Iran [IR], Israel [IL], Japan [JP], Kazakhstan [KZ], Malaysia [MY], Thailand [TH], and Vietnam [VN], 4 countries in Africa (Gabon [GA], Kenya [KE], Tunisia [TN], and Zimbabwe [ZW]), as well as Australia (AU), and New Zealand (NZ).

The impact of the relative number of nurses/midwives on life expectancy was evaluated in the countries included in this analysis. The authors also evaluated the impact of selected economic variables on the relative number of nurses/midwives in the analyzed countries.

To evaluate the differences between the countries in the context of the relative number of nurses/midwives regarding the selected indicators, e.g., life expectancy and economic variables, the investigated group of countries was divided into 3 separate subgroups: those with <5 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants (group 1: PY, ZW, ID, VN, KE, IN, TH, CN, TR, MX, TN, GA, and MY), those with 5–10 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants (group 2: IL, ES, PL, ME, SK, EE, IT, HU, LT, CZ, GB, KZ, RU, SI, NL, CA, and US), and those with >10 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants (group 3: FR, BE, NZ, SE, JP, IE, AT, LU, AU, IR, DE, FI, IS, DK, NO, and CH). In every group, the mean and standard deviation, as well as the median and range were calculated for the selected indicators.

Statistical analysis

All statistical calculations were performed in the R statistical package (ver. 3.4.3). The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare variables between the groups with post-hoc Dunn’s test and Holm’s correction for multiple comparisons. To detect significant relationships between the variables, Pearson’s methods were applied. In all analyses, the results were considered significant when p < 0.05.

RESULTS

The descriptive statistics of the analyzed indicators stratified according to the relative number of nurses/midwives are presented in Table 1. Dunn’s test with Holm’s correction

DISCUSSION

As it was already mentioned, life expectancy is the result of many factors, including healthcare system regulations [2]. These results show that nurses and midwives play an important role. The increasing demand for healthcare worldwide and its decreased quality have created the urgent need to implement quality improvements, but in many cases the interventions that are implemented are not based on sufficient empirical evidence [17]. Therefore, measurements of quality have become the critical activities leading to improved healthcare delivery and financing. In 2005, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation established the Interdisciplinary Nursing Quality Research Initiative.
Table 1. Life expectancy and economic indicators: gross domestic product (GDP) per capita, health expenditure as a percentage of GDP, and health expenditure per capita, in 46 countries divided into 3 groups, including a retrospective analysis based on data obtained from the reports of the World Bank, Eurostat, and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development in 2015–2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Participants (N = 46)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>group 1 (N = 13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M±SD</td>
<td>Me (IQR)</td>
<td>min.–max</td>
<td>M±SD</td>
<td>Me (IQR)</td>
<td>min.–max</td>
<td>p^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life expectancy [years]</td>
<td>72.07±5.08</td>
<td>75.3 (68.56–75.76)</td>
<td>61.16–77.12</td>
<td>78.41±3.58</td>
<td>78.33 (76.56–81.51)</td>
<td>71.59–82.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita [USD]</td>
<td>5431.02±3392.21</td>
<td>4365.53 (2343.12–8826.99)</td>
<td>1079.61–10 540.62</td>
<td>26 242.93±15403.7</td>
<td>20 368.14 (14 224.85–39 720.44)</td>
<td>7669.57–54 298.9±322 272.0</td>
<td>75.95–83.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health expenditure [USD]</td>
<td>percentage of GDP</td>
<td>5.29±2.08 (3.89–5.86)</td>
<td>2.68–10.32</td>
<td>8.13±2.89</td>
<td>7.31 (6.51–9.17)</td>
<td>3.88–16.84</td>
<td>9.72±1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per capita</td>
<td>250.1±160.6 (111.76–385.62)</td>
<td>63.32–534.81</td>
<td>2360.61±2352.52</td>
<td>1284.05 (893.65–2756.12)</td>
<td>379.08–4857.64±1993.93</td>
<td>4563.97 (4020.98–5523.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ Kruskal-Wallis test.
Group 1: < 5 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants; group 2: 5–10 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants; group 3: >10 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants.
The appropriate and optimal number of nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants is a crucial factor. Much evidence indicates that appropriate nurse staffing and patient-to-nurse ratios in healthcare facilities are associated with lower mortality rates [19–21]. The results of this study are in line with the need to provide evidence of the role of nurses and midwives in the healthcare system. The authors dem-
onstrated that life expectancy is influenced by the number of nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants and is higher in the countries where this number is high. However, there are countries where this proportion is not sustained. For example, Iran has 1.55 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants and its life expectancy is 75.98 years. Similarly, Spain and Italy have 5.32 and 5.72 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants, respectively, and their life expectancy is 82.83 and 82.54 years, respectively. In contrast, Belarus, Kazakhstan, and Russia have 11.42, 8.54, and 8.68 nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants, respectively, and their life expectancy is 73.8, 72.3, and 71.59 years, respectively. This discrepancy could result from many factors, including the level of nursing/midwife education and competency, the organization of the healthcare system, the level of individual income, and health behaviors, among others. In addition, life expectancy depends on the country’s income [22–24]. Rudolf Virchow [25] was the first to point out the relationship between poverty and the health status of the society. It has also been shown that life expectancy is influenced by the country’s income, and that the number of nurses and midwives/1000 inhabitants is higher in the countries with higher income and a higher level of financing for the healthcare system. In many countries, there is an increasing share of healthcare services provided by nurses, mostly by advanced practice registered nurses. The number of such nurses has increased rapidly, especially in the USA. The training time is shorter compared to the physician’s training, and there are fewer constraints resulting from the capacity of medical schools and institutional restrictions [26,27].
There are many benefits flowing from an increased role of nurses in healthcare services. First, it fills the gap between the demands for healthcare services and the system’s ability to meet these expectations. The quality of care provided by nurses, especially in the field of primary care in community settings, is similar to that provided by physicians, or could be even better in regard to patient outcomes. Nurses achieve a higher level of patient satisfaction, consultation length is longer, and the frequency of attended return visits is slightly higher for nurses than for doctors [28,29]. The cost of primary care provided by nurses is also significantly lower than the cost of physician-provided care [30]. Nurses, in particular, want more respect, a good collaboration from doctors, clearly defined roles, adequate training, resources, and supervision. These needs are not always met [31].

Limitations
This study has some limitations. First, the position of the nurses, their competencies, and roles, as well as the organization of the nursing field, vary in different countries, and these differences were not considered here. Second, the authors only analyzed countries with complete data reported in relevant and commonly accessible sources. Third, life expectancy depends on many variables, while this study only considered the relative number of nurses/midwives and selected economic variables.

CONCLUSIONS
This is the first study to assess the influence of the relative number of nurses/midwives on life expectancy based on objective data. The study provided evidence regarding the relative number of nurses/midwives in terms of life expectancy. The results indicate that nurses are very important in the healthcare system, and their relevant contribution should be considered by policy makers, especially as the number of nurses in the country relies on the country’s income and the level of financing for the healthcare system. Strengthening the role of nurses and midwives could contribute to reducing global healthcare disparities.
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