CHRONIC DISEASES ARE STRONGLY ASSOCIATED WITH SICKNESS ABSENCES IN A SAMPLE OF ITALIAN PUBLIC EMPLOYEES
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Abstract
Objectives: Data on the prevalence of chronic diseases and their relationship with sickness absence in the Italian public employees are rather scarce. Therefore, in the first place, we assessed the distribution of chronic diseases in the employees of the University of Ferrara. As a next step, we investigated the possible associations between each chronic disease and cumulative days of all-cause sickness absence, and finally we investigated the odds ratio of each single chronic disease on sickness absence. Material and Methods: A total of 514 employees, 269 sick-listed and 245 not sick-listed in 2012, were studied. Demographical/clinical characteristics and chronic diseases were obtained from all study participants during medical surveillance procedures. Sickness absence days and job seniority data were obtained from the administrative office.

Results: Gastrointestinal and psychiatric diseases were the most reported in the sick-listed sample (p = 0.01 and p = 0.02, respectively, compared to the not sick-listed). In the interquantile regression analysis, the sickness absence days were associated with psychiatric diseases (β = 65.1, 95% CI: 13.2–117.1, p = 0.01) and with the presence of 2 or more chronic diseases (β = 23.3, 95% CI: 4.5–42, p = 0.02). Furthermore, the logistic regression analysis showed that the odds of sickness absence were increased 2 fold by psychiatric diseases (OR = 2.2, 95% CI: 1.01–4.93, p = 0.04), and gastrointestinal diseases (OR = 1.9, 95% CI: 1.07–3.42, p = 0.02) and, to a lesser extent, by high body mass index (OR = 1.05, 95% CI: 1–1.11, p = 0.03). Conversely, female gender reduced by half the odds of sickness absence (OR = 0.5, 95% CI: 0.3–0.8, p = 0.04).

Conclusions: This study highlights the relevant association between chronic diseases and sickness absence in Italian public employees. Our findings indicate the importance of considering the health status when designing preventive interventions aimed at decreasing sickness absences in this population.
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INTRODUCTION

Sickness absence is a medical problem frequently used as an indicator of health [1,2]. This phenomenon has an important economic impact in terms of loss of productivity, employment, replacement and insurance costs. Sickness absence has also been shown to predict future adverse health outcomes, mortality and increased future risk of disability pension in an ageing workforce [1,3,4]. The ageing of the working population is a crucial issue in modern society. In Europe, the employment rate of
workers aged 55–64 increased from 36% in 1999 to 49% in 2012 and, in Italy, these older workers constitute more than one-half of the workforce in several areas [5].

As chronic diseases are strongly related to age [6,7], the number of unhealthy workers is increasing. In 2012, 36% of the Italian population aged 45–54 was affected by at least one chronic disease and 13% by 3 concomitant illnesses [8].

Chronic diseases and sickness absence appear to be correlated; indeed chronic diseases can predict long-term sickness absence and disability pension [9,10]. Moreover, the risk of sickness absence seems to be higher in people with 2 or more chronic conditions [10–12].

A number of studies show that sickness absence rate is high in Italian public employees; according to the data from the Italian Ministry of Economics, the total number of days off work for sickness absence in Italian public employees was 1 096 753 in 2012 [13,14]. Curiously, employment in the Italian public sector appears to be an important predictor of sickness absence in the Italian working population [15].

Several studies have examined the influence of various work-related aspects (sick leave compensation policies, factors related to contractual and institutional aspects) on sickness absence in Italian public employees [16,17]. However, little attention has been paid to the contribution of health-related determinants. Particularly, little is known about the prevalence of chronic diseases and their possible impact on sickness absence in the specific population of the middle aged Italian public employees. So far, most of the studies on the determinants of sickness absence have focused on heterogeneous populations of workers with an age range between 18 and 65 years [18,19].

Lundl et al. [18] examined 2 cross-sectional samples of 8562 Swedish and Danish public sector employees: clerks, personal and protective service workers, skilled agricultural and fishery workers, craft and related trade workers, showing that sickness absence was associated with a body mass index (BMI) above normal values, former and current smoking, musculoskeletal symptoms and low self-rated health status. Nevertheless, in this as well as other studies, middle age white collar employees are underrepresented [20]. Furthermore, most of the previous investigations addressed the effect of a single chronic disease on sickness absence [21–23].

In a prospective cluster-randomized controlled trial of 158 subjects, Arends et al. [23] focused on workers with psychiatric disorders, demonstrating that medication, company size, and conflicts with supervisor predicted the incidence of recurrent sickness absence. By contrast, surveys exploring the total burden of chronic diseases on sickness absence are less common. Only 2 population-based studies have been carried out and have indicated that people with chronic diseases have increased risk of sickness absence [24,25]. So, little remains known about the association between the total burden of chronic diseases and sickness absence in specific worker categories.

Therefore, the aims of our study were:
- to assess the distribution of chronic diseases in a sample of Italian public employees,
- to evaluate the possible associations between chronic diseases and cumulative days of all-cause sickness absence,
- to investigate the odds ratio of the “individual” chronic diseases on sickness absence.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study design and population characteristics**

The study design was cross-sectional. A total of 514 university permanent administrative employees who underwent medical surveillance procedures from the 1st January to the 31st December 2012 were studied.

The eligibility criteria were: exposure to at least one occupational risk factor according to the Italian
number of absence spells, the term refers to the total days of sickness absence in 2012.

Chronic diseases and clinical measurements

Chronic diseases

The chronic diseases burden was self-reported and assessed from the medical history of subjects. The diseases were categorized into ten different groups (musculoskeletal, endocrine, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, neurologic, respiratory, eye diseases, psychiatric and neoplastic diseases) during data processing. Dichotomous variables were obtained from the above-mentioned chronic diseases with categories “yes” if one of the above diseases was reported vs. “no” if not reported. The definition of chronic disease or chronic condition is not agreed upon in literature. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), chronic diseases have a long duration and usually slow progression [26]. The term chronic disease will be used when referring to the aforementioned disease groups.

Pack years (P/Y)

Pack-years of smoking were calculated by multiplying the number of cigarettes per day by the number of years smoked and dividing the product by 20.

Body mass index (BMI)

Height and weight of each participant were measured and body mass index calculated (kg/m^2).

Blood pressure and mean arterial pressure (MAP)

Diastolic and systolic resting blood pressure was measured with an Erkameter 3000 mercury sphygmomanometer, in a sitting relaxed position, in a quiet room, after at least a 10-min rest. The blood pressure was measured 3 times [27,28] and the average of the last 2 measures was calculated. All measurements were taken by trained medical personnel. Mean arterial pressure (MAP)
RESULTS

General characteristics of the study population
We analyzed data from a total of 514 subjects: 269 sick-listed and 245 not sick-listed in 2012 (Table 1). The mean age of the general population was 48±7.8 years; 69% were females and the median job seniority was 17 years (IQR 8–25). Body mass index and MAP were higher in males than in females (BMI: 26±4.2 vs. 23±4.1; p < 0.01; MAP: 97±9.8 vs. 90±12.1 mm Hg; p < 0.01).

Clinical variables (age, BMI, MAP) did not differ between the not sick-listed and sick-listed groups; whereas P/Y (8.3 vs. 6.9; p = 0.19) and job seniority (18 vs. 16 years; p = 0.9) were slightly higher, but not significantly, in the latter group (Table 1). Notably, 80% of our total employees were affected by at least one chronic disease and the distribution of subjects with one or more chronic conditions was similar in both sick-listed and not sick-listed groups. The mean age was significantly higher in subjects with at least one chronic disease than in those without (49±7.5 vs. 44±8.1 years; p < 0.01). Conversely, job seniority was similar between employees affected and not affected by chronic conditions (16 (IQR 8–23) vs. 19 (IQR 11–26) years; p = 0.1).

Chronic diseases and sickness absence
The more frequent chronic diseases in the study population were: musculoskeletal (36%), endocrine (16%), cardiovascular (16%), gastrointestinal (13%), genitourinary (12%), neurologic (10%) and psychiatric (7%). Distribution of chronic conditions was similar between males and females. Gastrointestinal (9% vs. 4%; p = 0.01) and psychiatric (5% vs. 2%; p = 0.02) diseases were the most frequently reported in the sick-listed group, whereas the genitourinary diseases were the most common in the not sick-listed one (11% vs. 1%; p < 0.01) (Figure 1).
or gastrointestinal diseases (10.7±21.7 vs. 5.7±13.9 days; p < 0.01) as compared to subjects without chronic conditions. Likewise, employees with 2 or more chronic illnesses had longer sickness absence than healthy subjects (9.3±20.7 vs. 3.7±6.4 days; p = 0.05). Although females were the majority of our population, the sickness absence was longer in males (6.6±18 vs. 6.2±1.8 days; p = 0.01) (Figure 2).

**Associations between sickness absence days and clinical variables**

In the general population, we did not find significant associations between sickness absence days and clinical variables (age, P/Y, BMI, MAP) or job seniority. Only age was positively correlated with sickness absence days (r = 0.15, p = 0.01) in the sick-listed group. In this group, the distribution of sickness absence days (median value: 5, range: 1–140) was not normal. In fact, they were almost entirely distributed between

---

**Table 1. General characteristics of study groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total (N = 514)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (M±SD)</td>
<td>48±7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females [n (%)]</td>
<td>353 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m$^2$) (M±SD)</td>
<td>24.1±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg) (M±SD)</td>
<td>92.4±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pack/years [M (interquartile ranges: 25–75th percentile)]</td>
<td>7.5 (2.5–18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current smokers [n (%)]</td>
<td>97 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ex-smokers [n (%)]</td>
<td>78 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sick leave (days) (M±SD)</td>
<td>6.4±15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job seniority (years)</td>
<td>17 (8–25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic diseases [n (%)]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>243 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>167 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>104 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M – mean; SD – standard deviation; Me – median.

The most frequently reported diseases include musculoskeletal in the overall study population, gastrointestinal and psychiatric in the sick-listed, and genitourinary diseases in the not sick-listed group.

*p = 0.01; **p < 0.01; ***p = 0.02.

**Fig. 1. Chronic diseases in the general population and the study groups**

---

The sickness absence days were higher in employees affected by psychiatric (18.1±30.2 vs. 5.5±13.2 days; p < 0.01)
the 25th percentile (3 days) and the 95th percentile (70 days). So, we performed the multiple interquantile regression analysis, which showed that sickness absence days were positively and independently associated with psychiatric diseases ($\beta = 65.1$, 95% CI: 13.2–117.1, $p = 0.01$) and with the presence of 2 or more chronic conditions ($\beta = 23.3$, 95% CI: 4.5–42.6, $p = 0.02$). The calculated coefficient $\beta$ estimates the change in the 25–95th quantile range of our response variable (sickness absence days) produced by one unit change in the covariate (gastrointestinal diseases, psychiatric diseases, female gender, age, BMI, pack/years, job seniority, 2 or more chronic diseases) (Table 2). Therefore, the number of sickness absence days increased by 65.1 or 23.3, being affected by psychiatric diseases or 2 or more chronic conditions. Furthermore, multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed a significant association between sickness absence and psychiatric, gastrointestinal diseases or high BMI (Table 3). Indeed, the odds of sickness absence in subjects affected by psychiatric or gastrointestinal diseases were almost 2 fold higher than those of individuals without these diseases (OR = 2.2 and 1.9, respectively) (Table 3). Curiously, the odds for sickness absence of the female gender was half of that of the males (OR = 0.5) (Table 3).

The sickness absence length was significantly higher in employees affected by psychiatric diseases, gastrointestinal diseases or 2 or more chronic conditions. Interestingly, female employees had a lower sickness absence length than males.

The top boundary of the bars indicates averages.

* $p < 0.01$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p = 0.05$; * $p = 0.01$.

**Fig. 2.** Sickness absence days – a) affected and not affected workers, b) gender

**Table 2.** Multiple interquantile regression analysis in sick-listed group with sickness absence days as dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal diseases</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>−12.70–22.2</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric diseases</td>
<td>65.10</td>
<td>26.30</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>13.20–117.1</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>−20.60–30.9</td>
<td>0.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>−0.23–1.1</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>−1.50–2.3</td>
<td>0.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack/years</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>−1.46</td>
<td>−1.47–0.22</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job seniority</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>−0.87–0.53</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more chronic diseases</td>
<td>23.30</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>4.50–42</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\beta$ – interquantile regression coefficient; SE – standard error; CI – confidence interval.
CHRONIC DISEASES AND SICKNESS ABSENCE IN EMPLOYEES

ORIGINAL PAPER

IJOMEH 2014; 27(3)

349

that of our public employees (40–56 years). Furthermore, the quoted authors do not consider sickness absences lasting 2 weeks or less, since in Sweden these absences are not registered as they are in Italy.

A higher number of sickness absence days was found in employees affected by psychiatric, gastrointestinal or 2 or more chronic diseases. In 2 very recent surveys, Soegaard et al. [31] and Jansson et al. [32] confirmed that workers affected by either psychiatric or gastrointestinal illnesses were more prone to take longer sickness absence than subjects unaffected by these 2 diseases. Furthermore, in a cross sectional study, Roskes et al. [33] showed that workers with chronic diseases had not only more frequent but also more prolonged sickness absence than healthy subjects.

To focus our attention on sick-listed employees, we determined the possible associations between sickness absence and both clinical characteristics and chronic diseases. In multiple interquantile regression analysis with sickness absence days as dependent variable, 2 or more chronic conditions or psychiatric, but not gastric, diseases were positively and independently associated with sickness absence length. These results suggested that psychiatric disorders and the presence of polimorbidities were the major determinants of long term sickness absence in this sample. In a very recent survey, Knudsen et al. [34] reported a significant association between psychiatric disorders and long-term sickness absences in a large population of workers and showed that workers with coexistence of 2 psychiatric diseases had longer duration of the first sickness absence episode.

Concerning the relationship between the presence of sickness absence and chronic conditions, psychiatric and gastrointestinal diseases were significantly associated with absences. In line with our findings, Overland et al. [35] demonstrated that gastrointestinal disorders were not only risk factors for sickness absence but also associated with the existence of psychiatric diseases. Furthermore, Stansfeld

DISCUSSION

The results of this study showed that, while the musculoskeletal, endocrine and cardiovascular diseases were the most frequently reported chronic conditions in all the studied employees, the gastrointestinal and psychiatric illnesses were more common in the sick-listed than in the not sick-listed workers. In the former, the sickness absence period was independently associated with psychiatric diseases or with the presence of 2 or more chronic conditions. Furthermore, the odds of sickness absence were higher in subjects with psychiatric, gastrointestinal diseases or high BMI, whilst they were lower in the female gender.

In our study, sick-listed subjects exhibited a higher burden of psychiatric and gastrointestinal diseases than not sick-listed ones. These data are consistent with those of Ármannsdóttir et al. [25] who demonstrated a higher prevalence of the same 2 illnesses in sick-listed Swedish workers compared to a general population of not sick-listed. However, in contrast with the results of these authors, we found that musculoskeletal, and neoplastic diseases were equally distributed between the 2 groups. Differences of the study population and study design might explain the partial discrepancies between the report of Ármannsdóttir et al. and ours: they performed a population-based survey where the subjects had different occupational positions and an age range (19–64 years) much wider than

Table 3. Multiple logistic regression analysis with odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for sickness absence in the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal diseases</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.07–3.42</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric diseases</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.01–4.93</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.32–0.80</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97–1.02</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.00–1.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack/years</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96–1.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job seniority</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97–1.01</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more chronic diseases</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.74–1.65</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
et al. [36] showed that the presence of common mental disorders predict future sickness absence in English public employees. Another variable directly associated with the presence of sickness absence in our population was high BMI. Both overweight and obesity are associated with the incidence of multiple comorbidities, including type 2 diabetes, cancer and cardiovascular diseases [37]. The main mechanism responsible for this association seems to be an underlying low grade systemic inflammation due to production of cytokines by adipose tissue [38]. Korpeila et al. [39] showed that BMI was not only a predictor of obesity associated health risks, but also of sickness absence. Finally, Harvey et al. [40] demonstrated that employees with high BMI take more frequent sickness absences than workers with healthy weight.

In our study, females exhibited a lower occurrence of sickness absence in 2012 and showed a shorter sickness absence length than males. These results may appear in contrast with the surveys of Ármannsdóttir et al. [25] and Laaksonen et al. [41], who reported a higher sickness absence rate in women than in men. However, unlike in our investigation, which focused on a relatively small, but homogeneous sample, these authors studied a larger but more heterogeneous population. We actually focused on permanent white collar workers employed in the Italian public sector and with a high mean age, whilst they studied younger permanent and temporary workers from public and private sectors, with occupations ranging from blue collar workers to managers.

Moreover, women with pregnancy-related sickness absence were included in the sick-listed workers group of the Laaksonen population study [41]. The female sickness absence rate differs between different countries, age classes, professional groups and seems to be context-sensitive [42]; therefore, our findings might be explained by the specific characteristics of our study population. Although the relationship between gender and sickness absence is well documented in scientific literature, the inverse association we observed between female gender and sickness absence deserves further investigation. Indeed, our data confirm and extend those of Duijts et al. [43] who reported the above mentioned inverse association, albeit weak, in a large meta-analysis focused on predictors of sickness absence due to psychosocial complaints, i.e. psychological conditions such as depression, and social problems such as work/family conflicts.

With regards to the possible pathway linking chronic diseases to sickness absence, the literature on this subject is not exhaustive. We know that workers affected by chronic diseases reported difficulties in meeting physical and psychosocial work demands [44]. They are affected by limitations caused by the disease, such as health complaints (fatigue, pain) or are troubled by symptoms of their specific chronic condition. Apart from disease symptoms and limitations, many chronically ill workers are also troubled by psychological distress or depression [45,46].

This study has some limitations. We performed our investigation in a sample size of permanent administrative employees, and the sampling method might lead to a potential bias due to the inclusion criteria. However, to perform a 1-year study including all employees implies collecting all data solely from the registers, and this process may be far more biased than a sampling method. Our data on chronic diseases were self-reported, so recall and reporting bias may have occurred. Nevertheless, because the university employees undergo periodical medical examinations as a part of the university occupational health surveillance program, we mitigated this bias by also analyzing the medical records collected from our Occupational Medicine Service’s database.

The analysis of chronic diseases that may affect the incidence and duration of sickness absence should include at least a 3-year period [47]. Therefore, our short period observation could generate a bias related to an underrepresentation of chronic diseases in the sick-listed sample.
and to an underestimation of sickness absence days for individuals with specified chronic diseases. We are following up our study population in order to extend the period of analysis up to 3 years. At present, we think that the data for the first-year period might give some information, both because the study population was more than 500 employees and because the 80% of these employees were affected by a chronic disease.

Due to Italian privacy laws, we do not know specific sickness absence causes. Nevertheless, our data analysis and results strongly suggest that chronic diseases may affect all sickness absences and cumulative sickness absence length. Finally, the generalization of our results should be restricted to the specific population of the Italian public employees. Concerning the strengths, our study is focused on a very homogeneous and specific population of employees, sick-listed and not sick-listed, of the University of Ferrara. At variance with other investigations, no data is missing with regard to our population, thus we accurately analyzed the relationship between the presence of chronic diseases and sickness absence. Moreover, recorded sickness absence days and sickness absence data were acquired from legal university registers, excluding, therefore, bias related to self-reported sickness absence.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our results indicate that sick-listed employees of the University of Ferrara had higher frequency rate of psychiatric and gastrointestinal chronic diseases than not sick-listed. In the former, the sickness absence length was independently and positively associated with psychiatric illnesses and with the presence of 2 or more chronic conditions. Moreover, the odds of sickness absence were higher in subjects affected by psychiatric diseases, gastrointestinal diseases or with high BMI, whilst in the female gender the odds were lower. Females also showed a lower number of sickness absence days than males.

This study highlights the importance of taking workers chronic diseases and health status into account when designing preventive interventions aimed at decreasing sickness absence in public employees. Targeting long-term health problems may be an important factor in an attempt to improve the productivity and to reduce the sickness absence rates in chronically ill employees.
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