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MACIEJ WILSKI1,2, BARTOSZ CHMIELEWSKI1, and MACIEJ TOMCZAK1,2

1 State School of Higher Professional Education, Konin, Poland
Department of Physiotherapy and Health Knowledge
2 University School of Physical Education, Poznań, Poland
Department of Physical Education, Sport and Rehabilitation

Abstract
Objectives: The aim of this study was to explain the relationship between work locus of control and burnout in Polish physiotherapists through the mediation of coping styles. In particular, we hypothesized that external work locus of control may have a positive direct relationship with burnout symptoms via positive relationship with emotion-focused and avoidant coping styles, and a negative relationship with problem-focused style. Material and Methods: We tested the mediational hypothesis using structural equation modeling of self-report data from 155 Polish physiotherapists. Results: The relationship between external work locus of control and physiotherapists’ burnout was shown to be mediated by a positive relationship with emotion-focused coping and an inverse relationship with problem-focused coping. The variables included in the model explained about 15% of the variance of emotional exhaustion, 14% of depersonalization, and 14% of personal accomplishment. Conclusions: Physiotherapists perceiving the situation as difficult to control, feel more burned out when they use more emotion-focused strategies, and less problem-focused strategies. This indicates the importance of including both, problem-focused coping training and increasing the perception of the situation controllability in preventing physiotherapists’ burnout programs.

Key words: Burnout, Work locus of control, Coping style, Physiotherapist, Job stress, Poland

INTRODUCTION
Burnout is a serious problem in professional social services. It is defined as a reaction to chronic stress, experienced by people helping others. Burnout includes emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and reduced personal accomplishment [1]. Emotional exhaustion refers to lack of energy and depletion of emotional, physical and interpersonal resources [2]. Many researchers view burnout as a process, and emotional exhaustion is often referred to as its major characteristic [3]. Exhaustion is critical for the development of burnout [4] and leads to negative, uncaring, cynical attitudes of employees and their detached feelings toward other people, referred to as depersonalization [5]. The 3rd component of burnout, personal accomplishment, is postulated to be independent of the other 2 dimensions [6]. Reduced personal accomplishment refers
to diminished feelings of competence and achievement at work [7].

Medical professions are associated with a high risk of burnout. Many previous studies documented a high prevalence of burnout among physicians and nurses [8–11]. Surprisingly, empirical research on burnout among physiotherapists has received little attention. This is surprising, given the fact that physiotherapists often provide services to people with disabilities who have various emotional problems. Physiotherapists often spend more time with a patient than physicians or nurses. Since the risk of burnout is determined by the amount of time spent with a patient [12] and the intensity of a patients’ emotional demands [13], one may expect a particularly high level of burnout among physiotherapists.

This assumption was confirmed by one of the few studies dealing with the problem in question, carried out by Li Calzi et al. [14]. They studied a group of medical personnel (physicians, nurses, therapists and technicians), including 124 physiotherapy workers, and found that the latter presented with higher levels of professional exhaustion than nursing staff and physicians. Other studies, conducted in Japan [15], United States [16] and Cyprus [17], also confirmed that burnout constitutes a significant problem among physiotherapists. The aim of our study was to examine the incidence of burnout among Polish physiotherapists.

Most of the previous studies dealing with the problem in question centered around the relationship between burnout and its situational or individual correlates separately rather than on their interactions [18]. While some authors focused on the role of individual characteristics in burnout development, others explored the impact of work environment. According to Maslach et al. [19], in order to predict the level of burnout, one should consider interactions between individuals, their personality resources and work-related correlates. Apart from working conditions, one’s susceptibility to burnout can be modified by various personality characteristics [20]. According to literature, work locus of control is one of the most often cited predictors of burnout [3,21,22].

Work locus of control and burnout

Locus of control refers to the extent to which people attribute control over events to themselves or to external environmental factors [23]. People with an internal orientation believe that they can control their own lives and perceive a strong link between their actions and consequences thereof. Individuals with external orientation believe that their lives are controlled by other forces, such as luck or other people, and perceive themselves in a passive role with regard to external environment. According to Rotter [24], general locus of control is a stable personality trait, but control orientations often are situationally determined. Based on this assumption, many researchers perceive locus of control as a multidimensional construct, postulating that people differ in their beliefs regarding different areas of their lives [25]. Work locus of control is one of these context-specific subdimensions.

Work locus of control represents the extent to which people attribute rewards, reinforcements or outcomes at work to their own behavior [26]. High level of control at work (internality) is related to many positive outcomes, such as well-being [27], job satisfaction [25], job performance [28] and lower levels of work-related stress [29]. In turn, individuals who believe that work outcomes are controlled by luck or powerful others (externality), are more susceptible to stress [25], depression and emotional exhaustion [30], and have lower organizational commitment [26]. These variables are directly related to burnout.

Either the work locus of control or burnout depend on situational context [31]. Locus of control is inter alia determined by environmental factors [25,32]. Hard-working people characterized by internal orientation may form an external control of their outcomes at work if exposed to many obstacles, such as an authoritarian leader, inflexible
system of work or high levels of stress. On the other hand, individuals with an external locus of control may change their perception of work and behavior at a workplace if working conditions promote their autonomy [31]. Therefore, work locus of control is perceived as a better predictor of work behavior and work-related criteria than the generalized measure of locus of control [25,33–35].

Work locus of control depends largely on the level of autonomy at the workplace. The level of physiotherapist’s autonomy in decision making is country-specific, depending on the laws and policies that govern physiotherapy practice. Polish physiotherapists’ autonomy in decision making is low due to the absence of a Physiotherapist Profession Act in the Polish law and superiority of physician’s recommendations over a physiotherapist’s diagnosis [36]. According to the Polish National Health Service, a patient may consult a physiotherapist only with a medical referral from a physician and with a diagnosis made by a physician (except for private visits where healthcare costs are non-refundable). In practice, much of the physiotherapist’s work often comes down to fulfilling the recommendations of a physician [36].

Moreover, a physiotherapist’s sense of control is affected by a number of other factors, such as little promotion opportunities, a short career path, no visible effects of work resulting from the difficult and irreversible condition of the patients (e.g., spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis, cerebral palsy), perceptions of not having a positive impact on the patient, a sense of incompetence, and lack of meaningful recognition for one’s efforts and accomplishments [36–39]. In other words, the working conditions of physiotherapists in Poland, especially those working in the public sector, often favor the formation of an external locus of control.

Many studies have documented a strong relationship between perceived control and occupational burnout [3,22,40,41]. For example, poorer locus of control was shown to be associated with greater work-related stress, burnout [41], depression, emotional exhaustion and lack of accomplishments among nurses [30]. Furthermore, a negative correlation between internal control and emotional exhaustion was documented among mental health professionals [42] and firefighters [43]. A meta-analysis conducted by Ng et al. [44] revealed that internal locus of control is inversely related to overall burnout and its facets, such as emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and lack of personal accomplishments.

However, some authors demonstrated that the relationship between locus of control and burnout can be reversed in particular situations. Hipps and Malpin [45] found that in difficult work conditions, educators with external control orientation reported less job stress, emotional exhaustion and depersonalization than those with internal control orientations. Expanding the range of control of people who do not feel competent or have low levels of self-efficacy can lead to depersonalization [46] and negative health consequences [47]. According to Gan et al. [22], locus of control is not the determinant of burnout, but an accurate perception of controllability of the situation, an appropriate appraisal and matching of coping strategies. Consequently, in order to expound the relationship between work locus of control and burnout, one should include coping styles as an important mediating factor.

**Mediating effect of coping styles**

According to Lazarus and Folkman [48], coping is a set of behavioral and psychological strategies, defined as specific efforts employed to master, tolerate, reduce or minimize stress in certain situations. Endler and Parker [49] identified coping in a slightly different perspective, as a personality trait or a style that remains consistent in most situations. Actions that a person takes in particularly stressful situations result from an interaction between the characteristics of the situation and specific coping style of a given person [50]. This approach is based on an interactive
model and emphasizes individual differences. Three main coping styles were proposed:
- problem-focused coping aims to alter the stressor via a direct action,
- emotion-focused coping involves self-preoccupation, fantasy or other conscious activities related to affect regulation,
- avoidance-oriented coping includes seeking out other people (social diversion) or engaging in a substitute task (distraction) [51].

Several studies analyzed the relationship between coping strategies and the level of burnout. For example, problem-focused strategies, such as active coping, are considered a factor reducing the level of burnout among nurses [52,53], case managers [54], mental health workers [4] and teachers [55]. However, most of the studies have shown that the use of an emotion-focused strategy is positively correlated with burnout, and it does not appear to be an adaptive coping style in the work environment. According to Wilkerson and Bellini [56], individuals who deal with problems by focusing on associated feelings, are more likely to develop burnout symptoms. A similar correlation was observed in the case of avoidance strategies. Withdrawal or avoidance coping were shown to be associated with mental health and levels of burnout [55,57–59]. However, some studies did not confirm such a simple correlation between coping strategies and burnout symptoms. Michielsen et al. [60] studied a large group of workers and showed that coping styles did not predict emotional exhaustion. In turn, Triplet et al. [61] analyzed a group of correctional officers and found that problem-focused strategies are ineffective in reducing overall stress and risk of burnout. Similar results were documented in a study of police officers, in whom emotion-focused coping, rather than problem-focused coping, was found to be associated with strain reduction [62]. In another study of 367 nurses, active coping was shown to result in emotional exhaustion [63], but this finding was attributed to poor opportunities of job control. Nurses who were high in active coping and had low control opportunities in their job were more frustrated than nurses who were low in active coping in the same situation. This evidence points to the importance of situational context in research on occupational burnout. In specific work situations, the use of a particular strategy can promote either an increase or a decrease in negative emotions and burnout.

Since both coping styles and locus of control were shown to be associated with burnout symptoms, the relationship between work locus of control and burnout was likely mediated by the use of coping styles or the level thereof. Effective coping was conceptualized as a key mediator of relationships between negative life events and psychological well-being [64]. Several studies documented links between different coping strategies and the perception of situation controllability [22,65,66]. For example, Elfstrom and Kreuter [66] found that the relationship between locus of control and emotional well-being of persons with traumatically-acquired spinal cord lesion was mediated by coping strategies. The results of most previous studies suggest that external individuals use fewer problem-focused strategies when dealing with stress [65,67,68]. Internal individuals with problem-focused skills feel that they can do something constructive in situations perceived as controllable, and as a result experience less negative emotions than persons using emotion-focused or avoidant styles [65].

Given the results of previous research, one may expect that the coping style may play a significant mediational role in the relationship between work locus of control and burnout. Namely, external work locus of control may impact the 3 dimensions of burnout through its negative influence on the problem-focused style, and positive influence on the emotion-focused and avoidance-oriented styles. Based on these theoretical speculations, we hypothesized that the relationship between work locus of control and burnout in physiotherapists was established with
the aid of coping styles. Consequently, we proposed the following hypotheses:

- **Hypothesis 1**: There is a positive relationship between external work locus of control and emotion-focused style of coping with stress, and a positive relationship between emotion-focused style and burnout in physiotherapists.

- **Hypothesis 2**: There is a negative relationship between external work locus of control and problem-focused style of coping with stress, and negative relationship between problem-focused style and burnout in physiotherapists.

- **Hypothesis 3**: There is a positive relationship between external work locus of control and avoidance-oriented style of coping with stress, and a positive relationship between the avoidance-oriented style and burnout in physiotherapists.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Participants and procedure**

The study included 155 physiotherapists from 5 rehabilitation centers located in 5 different regions of Poland. The centers were selected due to wide variety of treated patients. The researchers were psychologists qualified to conduct research involving human subjects. The welfare and rights of human subjects were adequately protected, and informed consent was obtained from the study participants. The questionnaires were distributed to all participants, with an explanation of the aims of the research. The questionnaires were completed anonymously. Those who agreed to participate in the study were asked to read the questionnaire and answer the questions at the workplace. Participants were assured that the data would be treated as confidential. There were no missing data as the correctness of filling the questionnaire was checked immediately after receiving a set of forms. In the absence of data, respondents were asked to fill the gaps. The data was collected between March and June 2013.

Most of the participants were female (66%) and married (55%). The average age of our respondents was 37 years (standard deviation (SD) = 10.83), and their average working experience amounted to 9.37 years (SD = 5.66). Participants worked 43.65 h per week on average (SD = 10.27). The physiotherapists specialized in orthopedics (85%), rheumatology (57%), neurology (25%), pediatric physical therapy (21%) and sports rehabilitation (18%). A smaller group specialized in cardiology (9%), oncology (9%) and pulmonology (2%). The respondents could provide more than 1 answer to the question regarding their specialization.

**Measures**

**Burnout**

The level of burnout was assessed by Polish version of Maslach Burnout Inventory – Human Service Scale (MBI-HSS) [5,69]. This 22-item instrument has been widely used in surveys of burnout in medical professions. Maslach Burnout Inventory consists of 3 subscales: Emotional Exhaustion (measures the feelings of being emotionally overextended and exhausted by one’s work), Depersonalization (measures an unfeeling and impersonal response toward recipients of one’s service, care treatment or instruction), and Personal Accomplishment (measures feelings of competence and successful achievement in one’s work).

Sample items are “I feel emotionally drained from my work” (Emotional Exhaustion); “I feel I treat some recipients as if they were impersonal objects” (Depersonalization); and “I have accomplished many worthwhile things in this job” (Personal Accomplishment).

The items are rated on a 7-item frequency-based scale (0 – never, 6 – every day). High scores on Emotional Exhaustion and Depersonalization and low scores on Personal Accomplishment are indicative for burnout. Reliability and validity of this scale has been confirmed in numerous studies [19,70].
Demographic variables
In order to describe the research group, a demographic questionnaire was used to gather physiotherapists’ personal data, such as sex, age, present marital status, work experience, weekly workload and specialization.

Statistical analysis
Mediation hypothesis was verified by mean of structural equation modeling, with work locus of control as an independent variable, stress coping styles as mediators and all 3 burnout scales as dependent variables. Indirect effects of the mediators were calculated. To assess the significance of the indirect effects, bias corrected bootstrapping was used [74–76]. To evaluate the fitting of models, \( \chi^2 \) statistics, Comparative Fit of Index (CFI), and Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) were used. Insignificant value of \( \chi^2 \) statistics, CFI > 0.9 and RMSEA < 0.08 indicated adequate model fitting. The values > 0.95 and < 0.05 pointed to a very good fit of the model [77].

RESULTS
First, relationships between the variables were identified (Table 1), and then 2 mediation models were proposed (Figure 1 and 2). Both models presented the style of coping with stress as a mediator of the relationship: work locus of control – burnout subscales.

The external work locus of control correlated positively with the emotion-focused coping style \((r = 0.48, p < 0.001)\), as well as with the avoidance-oriented coping style \((r = 0.20, p < 0.05)\), and showed an inverse correlation with the problem-focused style \((r = -0.25, p < 0.01)\). In turn, emotion-focused coping style correlated positively with emotional exhaustion \((r = 0.32, p < 0.001)\) and depersonalization \((r = 0.34, p < 0.001)\), and was inversely correlated with personal accomplishments \((r = -0.34, p < 0.001)\).

Moreover, problem-focused style was found to be inversely correlated with emotional exhaustion \((r = -0.16, \ldots)\).
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Table 1. Correlations between work locus of control, stress coping styles and burnout in study group (N = 155)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>WLC</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>DEP</th>
<th>PA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.48***</td>
<td>-0.25**</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
<td>0.36***</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>-0.29***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.30***</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>0.34***</td>
<td>-0.34***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.16*</td>
<td>-0.16*</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>-0.43***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.37***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WLC – work locus of control; E – emotion-focused coping style; P – problem-focused coping style; A – avoidance-oriented coping style; EX – emotional exhaustion; DEP – depersonalization; PA – personal accomplishment.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Fig. 1. Emotion and problem-focused styles as mediators in the relationship between work locus of control and burnout

Fig. 2. Adjusted model: Emotion and problem-focused styles as mediators in the relationship between work locus of control and burnout

p < 0.05) and depersonalization (r = -0.16, p < 0.05), and showed positive correlation with personal accomplishment (r = 0.20, p < 0.05). No significant correlations were found between avoidance-oriented coping style and components of burnout. The external work locus of control correlated positively with emotional exhaustion (r = 0.36, p < 0.001) and depersonalization (r = 0.32, p < 0.001), and was inversely correlated with personal accomplishments (r = -0.29, p < 0.001). In total mediation model, shown in Figure 1, the relationships between work locus of control and burnout subscales were controlled after the introduction of...
the mediators – styles of coping with stress. Correlations (in these cases, equal to the standardized regression coefficients) between work locus of control and the components of burnout were also placed on the arrows corresponding to the direct relationship between these variables. The regression coefficients obtained after the introduction of the mediators are presented next to them (Figure 1). The model does not include an avoidance style since it was not significantly associated with any of the burnout components.

The 1st model fitted well with the data (Chi² = 0.301, df = 1, p = 0.583, CFI = 1.000, RMSEA = 0.001). Emotion-focused coping style was identified as a mediator of the relationship between work locus of control, emotional exhaustion and depersonalization (Figure 1). Standardized regression coefficients between work locus of control and coping styles were equal to the correlation coefficient (work locus of control – emotion-focused style: β = 0.48, p < 0.001; work locus of control – problem-focused coping style: β = –0.25, p < 0.05). Primary relationships between work locus of control and burnout remained significant at 0.05 in the total model (work locus of control – emotional exhaustion: β = 0.25, p < 0.01; work locus of control – depersonalization: β = 0.18, p <0.05). Also the relationships between emotional style, emotional exhaustion (β = 0.19, p <0.05) and depersonalization (β = 0.24, p <0.01) proved to be significant (Figure 1).

Mediation effect was found in the case of the relationships: work locus of control – stress coping styles – personal accomplishment. The power of primary relationship between work locus of control and job satisfaction decreased to a statistically insignificant value. Both emotion- and problem-focused styles turned out to be mediators in this case. Relationships between these styles and personal accomplishments remained significant (emotion-focused style: β = –0.27, p < 0.01; problem-focused style: β = 0.15, p < 0.05) (Figure 1).

Indirect effects for the tested relationships were as follows: work locus of control – stress coping styles – emotional exhaustion (0.114, 95% CI:0.002–0.232), work locus of control – stress coping styles – depersonalization (0.141, 95% CI: 0.049–0.261), work locus of control – stress coping styles – personal accomplishment (–0.166, 95% CI: –0.274 to –0.082). The variables explained approximately 17% of variance in emotional exhaustion, and 16% of variance in depersonalization and professional satisfaction. Subsequently, the model was adjusted by elimination of the statistically insignificant relations (Figure 2).

Now, the 2nd model (adjusted model) will be examined (Figure 2). The model fitted well with the data (Chi² = 0.476, df = 4, p = 0.313, CFI = 0.995, RMSEA = 0.035). The relationship between the mediators of other variables were very similar to the relationships shown in the previously presented model. The total indirect effects of the tested relationships were as follows: work locus of control – stress coping styles – emotional exhaustion (0.102, 95% CI: 0.012–0.197), work locus of control – stress coping styles – depersonalization (0.123, 95% CI: 0.037–0.243), work locus of control – stress coping styles – personal accomplishment (–0.193, 95% CI: –0.29 to –0.082). The variables explained about 15% of the variance in emotional exhaustion, and 14% of variance in depersonalization and personal accomplishment.

DISCUSSION

The main objective of this study was to determine whether different coping styles mediate the relationship between work locus of control and burnout symptoms in physiotherapists. We hypothesized that the relationship between work locus of control and burnout symptoms would be mediated by associations with the problem-focused, emotion-focused and avoidant coping styles. These assumptions were partially confirmed as the relationship between external work locus of control and burnout was shown to be mediated by emotion- and problem-focused coping.
We found positive relationships between external work locus of control and the emotion-focused style, and between the emotion-focused style and burnout. Furthermore, we demonstrated inverse relationships between external work locus of control and the problem-focused style of coping with stress, and between the problem-focused style and burnout. The indirect effects for these mediators were statistically significant. The relationships between locus of control, emotional exhaustion and depersonalization remained statistically significant after introducing a mediator, which may point to partial mediation. However, modern researchers avoid such terminology and interpretation and pay more attention to the aforementioned test of indirect effects together with a prior factual justification for mediation [74, 76, 78].

The results of our study add several important theoretical contributions to the existing knowledge about burnout in medical professions. First, our findings support the results of previous empirical studies that showed an important relationship between work locus of control, coping strategies and burnout symptoms. According to Folkman and Lazarus [79], there is a general tendency to use the problem-focused strategy if situations are perceived as changeable, and emotion-focused coping in situations not amenable to change. Our study confirmed these relationships.

Moreover, our study provided some new theoretical insights. Although most previous studies demonstrated a positive relationship between external work locus of control and burnout, some authors found different directions of this connection. For example, Rijk et al. [63] showed that high level of job control increases emotional exhaustion of people using the passive coping style, while individuals using the active coping style feel less emotionally exhausted. Consequently, the employed strategy can be mainspring of burnout development. Our findings provide support for this assumption, indicating that part of the work locus of control – burnout symptoms association is mediated by coping practices. Specifically, emotion-oriented coping promotes negative influence of external work locus of control on burnout. Therefore, one may assume that an improvement of emotion regulation might contribute significantly to the reduction of burnout symptoms.

Furthermore, our findings add to existing evidence on the mediating role of coping strategies. A similar previous study conducted by Elfstrom and Kreuter [66] analyzed the associations between emotional well-being, locus of control and coping strategies in a sample of 132 persons with spinal cord lesions. The study confirmed that the link between locus of control and emotional well-being is mediated by coping strategies. Persons indicating internal control reported more coping strategies related to improved well-being, while individuals with external control reported more coping strategies related to poorer well-being. Having in mind the differences between both studies at the theoretical level, we can conclude that our results confirmed the findings of Elfstrom and Kreuter to a large extent.

Surprisingly, our study did not confirm the mediating role of the avoidance-oriented style of coping with stress. This is inconsistent with the results of previous studies which showed that avoidance coping strategies are associated with increased burnout [4, 58, 80] and can be considered a mediator of the latter [81, 82]. This discrepancy may result from the specific nature of avoidance coping strategies. Although avoidant behaviors may be helpful in dealing with problems and their negative consequences, they turn out to be detrimental to mental health in the long perspective. Therefore, a lot depends on the experience of the person using the avoidance strategy. In the case of this particular strategy, the most reliable data originate from the longitudinal studies, which showed that avoidance is associated with more chronic and more acute life stressors in most cases [83]. The only relationship that emerged in the context of avoidance strategies was related to external work locus of control. The physiotherapists who perceived...
the situation as uncontrollable were more likely to manifest avoidant coping behaviors. This finding is consistent with the results of previous studies [84,85].

Our study has several practical implications. Physiotherapists who are burned out may be less productive and less engaged in their work, which affects their efficiency and patient satisfaction. Patients working with a burned out physiotherapist are at risk of not receiving optimal care, which significantly increases the cost of treatment at both individual and organizational level. Therefore, research aimed at the identification of factors affecting the burnout process in this occupational group is particularly important.

Our findings point to 2 ways of reducing the risk of burnout. First, managers of medical facilities should take steps to create working conditions, which will contribute to shaping the internal sense of control at work. This means, *inter alia*, engaging employees in the process of planning work, and creating transparent work rules. Managers should also consider the rotation of staff on the wards, which allows physical therapists to work with different groups of patients and prevent the monotony and routine. Obviously, this idea should be preceded by a conversation with the employees and refers to those institutions in which it is feasible and to physiotherapists who are not specialists. Moreover, it is extremely important to allow the physiotherapists to participate in the decision-making regarding the treatment of patients; this significantly increases their level of autonomy, and creates favorable conditions for the development of internal locus of control.

The 2nd way of reducing burnout is by improving adoption of problem-focused coping in the face of work demands. It could be achieved by organizing seminars, workshops, social skill trainings to promote problem-focused strategies, even if the situation seems to be out of control. This type of training also contributes to building a greater sense of control, since these variables are dependent on each other [86]. The use of problem-focused strategy fosters a sense of internal control, and this in turn increases the likelihood of using problem-focused strategies.

Several limitations of this study should be mentioned. First, the data were obtained through self-report, which is subjected to a bias and distortion. Respondents could answer questions under the influence of other, non-audited situational factors. Second, our study was cross-sectional, which precludes any conclusions about causal directions. Hence, we cannot exclude that it was burnout which affected the physiotherapists’ perception of situation controllability and choice of strategies. Therefore, longitudinal studies would be necessary to further explore this matter.

Third, the interpretation of the current findings may suffer from limited generalizability. We examined a group of physiotherapists working in the Polish healthcare system, which is different from the systems in other countries, particularly in terms of the role of the physiotherapist in the process of comprehensive rehabilitation. However, we analyzed the relationships between the variables that have been confirmed in a large number of other studies. We did not diagnose the situation, but sought the mechanisms behind already proven relationships. Obviously, future research should be undertaken in culturally-diverse countries, where autonomy and active coping are perceived differently than in countries with an individualistic culture [87,88]. The examined relationships may be quite different in ethnically- and culturally-diverse groups.

Fourth, there is lack of validation studies on Polish version of Work Locus of Control Scale, therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution. Finally, we cannot exclude the impact of other sociodemographic, situational and personal factors on the results. A number of previous studies documented the relationships between burnout symptoms and other variables. The search for other mediators of the relationship between work locus of control and burnout should contribute to an even greater clarification of this association.
CONCLUSIONS
The present study provides new insight in the relationship between work locus of control and burnout symptoms in physiotherapists. Specifically, we explained this relationship by demonstrating that external work locus of control was positively related to burnout through the emotion-focused style of coping with stress and inversely related to burnout through the problem-focused style of coping with stress. Consequently, physiotherapists perceiving the situation as difficult to control, feel more burned out when they use more emotion-focused and less problem-focused strategies. Hence, the problem-focused and emotion-focused coping style can be considered as a mediator of a relationship between work locus of control and burnout syndrome. This points to the importance of including problem-focused coping training and increasing the perception of the situation controllability in the programs aimed at prevention of burnout among physiotherapists.
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