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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of the study was to examine the relationship between workplace bullying, mental health and an intention to leave among nurses, and the mediating role of self-efficacy. Material and Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted among 385 nurses in Taiwan. Data were collected by means of self-report questionnaires, including the Negative Acts Questionnaire-Revised, the General Self-Efficacy Scale, the Chinese Health Questionnaire, and the Employee’s Turnover Intentions and Job Destination Choices Scale. Descriptive statistics, Pearson’s correlation and hierarchical regression analyses were used. Results: Bullying was found to negatively correlate with self-efficacy and mental health, and positively with an intention to leave. Self-efficacy positively correlated with mental health, and negatively with an intention to leave. Hierarchical regression showed that bullying and self-efficacy were significant predictors of both mental health and an intention to leave. Self-efficacy partially mediated the relationship between bullying and mental health, as well as an intention to leave. Conclusions: Self-efficacy acted as a mediator of workplace bullying, mental health and an intention to leave among nurses. It could protect victims from the devastating effects of bullying behaviors. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2019;32(2)
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INTRODUCTION

Workplace bullying (WPB) is a widespread issue recognized in many countries [1,2]. Employees in the health and social work sectors reported the highest levels of bullying, with nurses being vulnerable targets for workplace violence (WPV) [1,3]. A 2007 report of the International Council of Nurses (ICN) indicated that 30.9% of nurses in Bulgaria were bullied, and so were 20.6% of nurses in South Africa and 10.5% in Australia [4]. Other studies have reported that 31–86% of nurses in different countries experience bullying in their workplace [5–7]. In Taiwan, 32.1–85% of nurses reported that they experienced bullying [8–10].
Bullying is defined as repeated negative treatment by one or more persons for a sustained period during which the person exposed to such treatment has difficulty defending him/herself against the perpetrators [9,11]. Nurses worldwide have similar problems with bullying, which is known to have devastating effects on the victims’ health, nursing profession, safety and quality of patient care, as well as on working environment in healthcare sectors, retention of nurses, and employers’ costs [9,12–18]. Workplace bullying has been linked to negative health outcomes and mental health problems; bullied nurses are more likely to have headaches, hypertension, intestinal problems, fatigue, sleep disturbances, anxiety, irritability, depression, psychological distress and burnout, compared with non-bullied nurses [5,10,17–23]. In addition, many victims suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which is reported to be the most adverse effect [13,24]. Bennett and Sawarzky [19] have found that WPB not only increases reports of psychosomatic complaints and psychological manifestations but also causes ineffective coping skills and may lead to impaired work relationships. Nurses who experience bullying are more likely to leave, or develop an intention to leave, their organization and the nursing profession entirely [4,8,9,25,26]. In 1 study, 29% of the victims reported voluntarily leaving their job in order to discontinue their exposure to WPB [27].

Mikkelsen and Einarsen [28] have stated that general self-efficacy moderates the relationship between exposure to bullying behaviors and psychological health complaints, thereby protecting nurses who are exposed to bullying behaviors in the workplace from its adverse effects. Self-efficacy is defined as a person’s self-confidence or belief in his or her capability to act and perform tasks in a particular situation; people can enhance their self-efficacy through personal experiences, the experiences of others, or a direct observation of others [29]. Newly graduated nurses, when exposed to bullying, tend to experience greater anxiety, lower self-esteem and lower self-confidence [30]. For experienced nurses, higher self-efficacy could diminish the impact of bullying on PTSD [24]. Studies have shown a strong association between personal self-efficacy and the performance level. The higher the self-efficacy, the more capable a person is when facing difficulties and overcoming obstacles, and, consequently, the more convinced he/she is about his/her ability to complete a difficult task [31,32]. Indeed, personal perceptions of self-efficacy affect the willingness to engage in certain behaviors and emotional responses to these behaviors (e.g., stress, anxiety and depression) [31]. Avey et al. [33] have found that psychological capital (PsyCap), which has the following 4 components: hope, resilience, optimism and self-efficacy, has significant positive effects on health and well-being in the workplace. They have also found that PsyCap partially mediates the effects of job stress on turnover intentions; employees with higher PsyCap tend to experience lower stress and are less likely to leave their jobs. Thompson and George [34] have implemented an online educational module, revealing an association between module performance and self-efficacy scores; the student nurses indicated feeling more confident in avoiding becoming targets of bullying. Griffin [35] has proposed a cognitive rehearsal strategy in which nurses are taught to delay automatic thoughts and respond differently through empowerment strategies to address WPB; the participants perceived themselves to be more confident in their ability to recognize and address bullying in the workplace following their education. Indeed, there is a large body of literature confirming that higher self-efficacy can increase an individual’s confidence to avoid becoming a target of bullying and, specifically, to protect nurses who are exposed to bullying behaviors in the workplace, with a view to diminishing the impact of bullying on their physical and mental health. However, existing research on WPB has paid little attention to the factors that could protect victims from the adverse effects of bullying. Therefore, this study aimed to:

- examine the relationship between WPB, mental health and an intention to leave among nurses in Taiwan;
identify the protective factors against WPB to suggest interventions for nurses.

The following hypotheses were proposed:
- Hypothesis 1a: Exposure to WPB negatively correlates with mental health.
- Hypothesis 1b: Exposure to WPB positively correlates with an intention to leave.
- Hypothesis 2a: Self-efficacy mediates the relationship between WPB and mental health.
- Hypothesis 2b: Self-efficacy mediates the relationship between WPB and an intention to leave.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Design, setting and participants
This cross-sectional study was conducted from October to December 2016. With convenience sampling, participants were recruited from a large teaching hospital in Taiwan with 1074 beds and a total of 720 nurses. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were as follows: being employed for at least 6 months in the hospital and holding permanent management or supervisory responsibilities, respectively. A total of 550 eligible nurses were invited to participate in this study. The participants’ information was confidential and anonymous.

Instruments
The following instruments were employed using published scales that exhibited satisfactory validity and reliability in previous studies: the Negative Acts Questionnaire-Revised (NAQ-R), the General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSE), the Chinese Health Questionnaire (CHQ-12), and the Employee’s Turnover Intentions and Job Destination Choices Scale.

NAQ-R
Bullying was measured using NAQ-R. Einarsen et al. [36] evaluated validity by examining the total NAQ-R, the General Health Questionnaire, and psychosomatic complaints for associations, which were moderately strong and statistically significant ($r = 0.86$, $p < 0.001$). NAQ-R was originally developed in English with Cronbach’s α of 0.97 [37]. For the purpose of this study, it was translated into the participants’ native language (Chinese). NAQ-R contains 22 items with 3 dimensions:
- personal bullying (12 items; e.g., being humiliated or ridiculed in connection with your work),
- work-related bullying (7 items; e.g., someone withholding information that affects your performance),
- physically intimidating forms of bullying (3 items; e.g., being shouted at or being a target of spontaneous anger).

The participants were asked to rate each item on a 5-point Likert scale: 1 – never, 2 – every now and then, 3 – monthly, 4 – weekly, and 5 – daily. Negative behavior intensity was measured by the sum of the total scores, within a range of 22–110. A higher score indicated a higher intensity of bullying behaviors. Cronbach’s α of NAQ-R was 0.96 in this study.

The study also measured self-labeled exposure to bullying behavior in the past 6 months. The respondents were asked to indicate whether they considered themselves targets of bullying at work, according the given definition of bullying, and the item was rated using dichotomous “yes or no” response options.

GSE
This subscale of the overall self-efficacy scale was used to assess self-efficacy of the participants; Cronbach’s α was 0.86 [38]. It was translated and revised by Huang and Cheng [39]; they evaluated its validity by examining its associations with self-concept, which were moderately strong and statistically significant ($r = 0.70$, $p < 0.001$), with Cronbach’s α of 0.88. The 17-item GSE subscale (e.g., “I give up on things before completing them;” “I am a self-reliant person”) was rated on a 6-point Likert scale from 1 – strong disagreement to 6 – strong agreement. The total scores were 17–102; the higher the score, the higher the self-efficacy expectations [33]. In this study, Cronbach’s α of GSE was 0.91.
CHQ-12
The questionnaire was used to measure mental health of the participants within the last 2 weeks [40]; its area under the ROC curve (AUC) values were 0.85±0.02 with excellent discriminant validity and Cronbach’s α of 0.84 [41]. The questionnaire contained items regarding the general physical status, depression, anxiety and sleep (e.g., losing much sleep due to worrying; losing confidence in oneself). Each item was rated on a 4-point Likert scale: 1 – not at all, 2 – same as usual, 3 – rather more than usual, and 4 – much more than usual; the responses “not at all” and “same as usual” were coded as 0, whereas “rather more than usual” and “much more than usual” as 1. The total scores were 0–12; the higher the score, the higher the level or severity of mental health problems. In this study, Cronbach’s α of CHQ-12 was 0.84.

Employee’s Turnover Intentions and Job Destination Choices Scale
An intention to leave was measured using the 5-item Employee’s Turnover Intentions and Job Destination Choices Scale, with Cronbach’s α of 0.71 [42]. Each item was rated on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 – strong disagreement to 5 – strong agreement. The total scores were 5–25, with a higher score indicating a greater intention to leave. In this study, Cronbach’s α for this tool was 0.81.

Data analysis
Data analysis was performed using SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to identify the demographic characteristics of the participants: age, marital status, children, education and religion. Pearson’s correlation was used to examine the correlations between bullying, mental health, an intention to leave and self-efficacy. The present research used the Baron and Kenny approach [43] to examine self-efficacy as a potential mediator with respect to the association between bullying and mental health, as well as intention to leave factors. In conducting all the analyses, the significance level was set at 0.05.

Ethical considerations
The Ethics Committee’s approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the research institution (IRB No. 105040).

RESULTS
Descriptive statistics and correlations
A total of 550 eligible nurses were invited to participate in this study. A total of 442 participants returned their questionnaires, which yielded a response rate of 80.4%. Of these, 385 questionnaires were complete and valid. The mean age in the sample (N = 385) was 29.50 (±6.15), with a range of 21–50. The majority of the sample (81%) had completed a bachelor’s degree. The average tenure in nursing was 7.28±6.12 years (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants (N = 385) of the study on workplace bullying and self-efficacy among nurses in Taiwan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>M±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [years]</td>
<td>29.50±6.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>married or living with a partner</td>
<td>112 (29.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single</td>
<td>273 (70.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>96 (24.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>289 (75.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ college</td>
<td>311 (81.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; college</td>
<td>73 (19.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious beliefs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>239 (63.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>140 (36.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure in nursing work [years]</td>
<td>7.28±6.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to bullying behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>82 (21.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>298 (78.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlation analysis (Table 2) showed that bullying was positively correlated with mental health \((r = 0.49, p < 0.001)\) and an intention to leave \((r = 0.32, p < 0.001)\), and negatively with self-efficacy \((r = -0.27, p < 0.001)\). Self-efficacy was negatively correlated with mental health \((r = -0.32, p < 0.001)\) and an intention to leave \((r = -0.27, p < 0.001)\). Mental health was positively correlated with an intention to leave \((r = 0.30, p < 0.001)\).

### Mediating effect of self-efficacy on the relationship between bullying, mental health and an intention to leave

To examine the hypothesis that self-efficacy is a protective factor in the relationship between WPB, mental health (Model 1) and an intention to leave (Model 2), the authors conducted a hierarchical regression analysis; the results are given in Table 3. Model 1.1 showed bullying as being positively associated with mental health \((\beta = 0.49, p < 0.001)\). In Model 1.2, self-efficacy was shown to account for a significant portion of variance in mental health \((27.6\%, p < 0.001)\). Additionally, self-efficacy was negatively associated with mental health \((\beta = -0.21, p < 0.001)\). Model 2.1 showed bullying as positively associated with an intention to leave \((\beta = 0.32, p < 0.001)\). Model 2.2 demonstrated self-efficacy accounting for a significant portion of variance in an intention to leave \((13.5\%, p < 0.001)\), while self-efficacy was negatively associated with an intention to leave \((\beta = -0.20, p < 0.001)\).

In step 1, bullying was a significant predictor of mental health \((\beta = 0.49, p < 0.001)\) and an intention to leave \((\beta = 0.32, p < 0.001)\). In step 2, bullying significantly predicted self-efficacy \((\beta = -0.27, p < 0.001)\). In step 3, the bullying/mental health path was lower (but significant)

---

**Table 2.** Means, standard deviations and correlations for the variables \((N = 385)\) in the study on workplace bullying and self-efficacy among nurses in Taiwan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bullying</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.24</td>
<td>10.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>–0.27**</td>
<td>70.55</td>
<td>10.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>0.49***</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to leave</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001.

**Table 3.** Results of hierarchical regression analyses in the study on workplace bullying and self-efficacy among nurses in Taiwan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model 1 Mental health</th>
<th>Model 2 Intention to leave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>model 1.1</td>
<td>model 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAQ-R score</td>
<td>0.49***</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>-0.21***</td>
<td>-0.21***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>119.71***</td>
<td>72.89***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔR²</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001.
compared with step 1 (β = 0.44, p < 0.001), suggesting partial mediation; the bullying/intention to leave path was lower (but significant) compared with step 1 (β = 0.27, p < 0.001), also suggesting partial mediation.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, 21.3% of the participants had experienced WPB in the past 6 months. This figure is close to the prevalence of bullying (32.1% to 35.5%) in previous works that used the self-labeling method to collect data [5,9], but it is significantly lower than that in studies which used the behavioral experience method (85% to 86%) [6,8]. Nielsen et al. [44] mentioned that the approach to explore the prevalence of WPB includes the behavioral experience and self-labeling methods. As such, significant differences in the reported prevalence of bullying can be attributed to the investigative method used. The self-labeling method tends to yield lower prevalence compared with the behavioral experience method. Specifically, different measurement tools and operational concepts of bullying will result in different prevalence rates.

In the present study, the results support hypotheses 1a and 1b. The findings are consistent with previous research linking bullying to numerous negative health outcomes; bullied nurses are more likely to have physical and mental health problems compared with non-bullied nurses [9,17–20]. Those participants who reported greater exposure to WPB were more likely to have a greater intention to leave. This finding is similar to that in previous studies [8,9,16,26].

The major purpose of this study was to examine the role of self-efficacy in protecting nurses exposed to WPB. The results also supported hypotheses 2a and 2b. We demonstrated that self-efficacy could protect nurses exposed to WPB, helping them avoid the adverse effects on their health outcomes, and decrease their intention to leave. This result corresponded with that presented by Laschinger and Nosko [24] who found that the impact of bullying on mental health – PTSD – was stronger in nurses with lower levels of self-efficacy. Additionally, the present findings confirm the reports in Mikkelsen and Einarsen [28] on the moderating role of self-efficacy in the relationship between bullying and the subsequent health complaints.

We recommend the development and evaluation of relevant interventions that can enhance self-efficacy in individuals, which will help them cope with stressful situations and mitigate the negative effects of WPB. Simultaneously, medical institutions should establish an easy-to-use notification system through which victims could feel free to report any WPB experience promptly and in a safe manner. Further, institutions should provide the necessary education and counseling resources, as well as support group for victims, promote personal self-efficacy and reduce the harmful effects of WPB on victims.

Moreover, medical institutions should establish a policy and workplace environment for zero tolerance for bullying, as is the position of the Center for American Nurses. In this way, they can provide a safe and friendly environment for nurses. Nurses who face unequal treatment at work, especially where the perpetrators hold a higher position, have reported the feeling of having no chance to change anything, thereby opting for a passive stance to avoid conflict or even choosing to stay silent on the issue [9,10]. Supervisors or managers should have the ability of self-reflection to examine whether the leadership is appropriate, and pay attention to inappropriate behavior or bullying behavior in the organization or institution, which should be dealt with as early as possible to avoid bullying from spreading or encouraging the atmosphere of bullying. The institution should provide nurses with education and training to increase their self-efficacy and confidence [34], especially as regards new nurses [29], including identifying bullying behaviors, preventing bullying and strengthening individual self-efficacy. As stated, individuals can enhance their self-efficacy through personal experiences, the experiences of others, or direct ob-
CONCLUSIONS

This study examined the relationship among WPB, mental health and an intention to leave among nurses, and extended the previous research by emphasizing the protective factors of self-efficacy that protect nurses exposed to WPB from its harmful consequences. The findings showed that bullying and self-efficacy were significant predictors of mental health, as well as an intention to leave. Self-efficacy partially mediated the relationship between bullying and mental health, and an intention to leave among nurses. Future research is necessary to explore the nature, causes and protective factors of WPB, to help reduce its harmful consequences. Most importantly, relevant interventions need to be developed to enhance individuals’ self-efficacy to help them cope with stressful situations and buffer the negative effects of WPB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the participating hospital and all of the nurses who participated in this study.

REFERENCES


42. Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual,